

# SHADOW LINES IN THE ARITHMETIC OF ELLIPTIC CURVES

J. S. BALAKRISHNAN, M. ÇİPERIANI, J. LANG, B. MIRZA, AND R. NEWTON

ABSTRACT. Let  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  be an elliptic curve and  $p$  a rational prime of good ordinary reduction. For every imaginary quadratic field  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  satisfying the Heegner hypothesis for  $E$  we have a corresponding line in  $E(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ , known as a shadow line. When  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  has analytic rank 2 and  $E/K$  has analytic rank 3, shadow lines are expected to lie in  $E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ . If, in addition,  $p$  splits in  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  then shadow lines can be determined using the anticyclotomic  $p$ -adic height pairing. We develop an algorithm to compute anticyclotomic  $p$ -adic heights which we then use to provide an algorithm to compute shadow lines. We conclude by illustrating these algorithms in a few examples.

## INTRODUCTION

Fix an elliptic curve  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  of analytic rank 2 and an odd prime  $p$  of good ordinary reduction. Assume that the  $p$ -primary Tate-Shafarevich group of  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  is finite. Let  $K$  be an imaginary quadratic field such that the analytic rank of  $E/K$  is 3 and the Heegner hypothesis holds for  $E$ , i.e., all primes dividing the conductor of  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  split in  $K$ . We are interested in computing the subspace of  $E(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$  generated by the anticyclotomic universal norms. To define this space, let  $K_\infty$  be the anticyclotomic  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension of  $K$  and  $K_n$  denote the subfield of  $K_\infty$  whose Galois group over  $K$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ . The module of *universal norms* is defined by

$$\mathcal{U} = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} N_{K_n/K}(E(K_n) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p),$$

where  $N_{K_n/K}$  is the norm map induced by the map  $E(K_n) \rightarrow E(K)$  given by  $P \mapsto \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K_n/K)} P^\sigma$ .

Consider

$$L_K := \mathcal{U} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \subseteq E(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

By work of Cornut [Co02], and Vatsal [Va03] our assumptions on the analytic ranks of  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  and  $E/K$  together with the assumed finiteness of the  $p$ -primary Tate-Shafarevich group of  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  imply that  $\dim L_K \geq 1$ . Bertolini [Be95] showed that  $\dim L_K = 1$  under certain conditions on the prime  $p$ . Wiles and Çiperiani [ÇW08], [Çi09] have shown that Bertolini's result is valid whenever  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(E_p)/\mathbb{Q})$  is not solvable; here  $E_p$  denotes the full  $p$ -torsion of  $E$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(E_p)$  is its field of definition. The 1-dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -vector space  $L_K$  is known as the *shadow line* associated to the triple  $(E, K, p)$ .

Complex conjugation acts on  $E(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ , and we consider its two eigenspaces  $E(K)^+ \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$  and  $E(K)^- \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ . Observe that  $E(K)^+ \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p = E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ . By work of Skinner-Urban [SU14], Nekovar [Ne01], Gross-Zagier [GZ], and Kolyvagin [Ko90] we know that

$$\dim E(K)^+ \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \geq 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \dim E(K)^- \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p = 1.$$

---

*Date:* November 4, 2014.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11G05, 11G50, 11Y40.

*Key words and phrases.* Elliptic curve, Universal Norm, anticyclotomic  $p$ -adic Height, Shadow Line.

The authors are grateful to the organizers of the conference “WIN3: Women in Numbers 3” for facilitating this collaboration and acknowledge the hospitality and support provided by the Banff International Research Station.

During the preparation of this manuscript: the second author was partially supported by NSA grant H98230-12-1-0208 and NSF grant DMS-1352598; the third author was partially supported by NSF grant DGE-1144087.

Then by the Sign Conjecture [MR03] we expect that

$$L_K \subseteq E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

Our main motivating question is the following:

**Question** (Mazur, Rubin). *As  $K$  varies, we presumably get different shadow lines  $L_K$  – what are these lines, and how are they distributed in  $E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$  ?*

In order to gather data about this question one can add the assumption that  $p$  splits in  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  and then make use of the *anticyclotomic  $p$ -adic height pairing* on  $E(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ . It is known that  $\mathcal{U}$  is contained in the kernel of this pairing [MT83]. In fact, in our situation we expect that  $\mathcal{U}$  equals the kernel of the anticyclotomic  $p$ -adic height pairing. Indeed we have  $\dim E(K)^- \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p = 1$  and the weak Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer Conjecture for  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  predicts that  $\dim E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p = 2$  from which the statement about  $\mathcal{U}$  follows by the properties of the anticyclotomic  $p$ -adic height pairing and its expected non-triviality. (This is discussed in §3 in further detail.) Thus computing the anticyclotomic  $p$ -adic height pairing allows us to determine the shadow line  $L_K$ .

Let  $\Gamma(K)$  be the Galois group of the maximal  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -power extension of  $K$ , and let  $I(K) = \Gamma(K) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$ . Identifying  $\Gamma(K)$  with an appropriate quotient of the idele class group of  $K$ , Mazur, Stein, and Tate [MST06, §2.6] gave an explicit description of the universal  $p$ -adic height pairing

$$(\cdot, \cdot) : E(K) \times E(K) \rightarrow I(K).$$

One obtains various  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -valued height pairings on  $E$  by composing this universal pairing with  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -linear maps  $I(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$ . The kernel of such a (non-zero)  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -linear map corresponds to a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension of  $K$ .

In particular, the anticyclotomic  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension of  $K$  corresponds to a  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -linear map  $\rho : I(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$  such that  $\rho \circ c = -\rho$ , where  $c$  denotes complex conjugation. The resulting anticyclotomic  $p$ -adic height pairing is denoted by  $(\cdot, \cdot)_\rho$ . One key step of our work is an explicit description of the map  $\rho$ , see §1. As in [MST06], for  $P \in E(K)$  we define the anticyclotomic  $p$ -adic height of  $P$  to be  $h_\rho(P) = -\frac{1}{2}(P, P)_\rho$ . Mazur, Stein, and Tate [MST06, §2.9] provide the following formula<sup>1</sup> for the anticyclotomic  $p$ -adic height of a point  $P \in E(K)$ :

$$h_\rho(P) = \rho_\pi(\sigma_\pi(P)) - \rho_\pi(\sigma_\pi(P^c)) + \sum_{w \nmid p\infty} \rho_w(d_w(P)),$$

where  $\pi$  is one of the prime divisors of  $p$  in  $K$  and the remaining notation is defined in §2. An algorithm for computing  $\sigma_\pi$  was given in [MST06]. Using our explicit description of  $\rho$ , in §2 we find a computationally feasible way of determining the contribution of finite primes  $w$  which do not divide  $p$ . This enables us to compute anticyclotomic  $p$ -adic height pairings.

We then proceed with a general discussion of shadow lines and their identification in  $E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ , see §3. In §4 we present the algorithms that we use to compute anticyclotomic  $p$ -adic heights and shadow lines. We conclude by displaying in §5 two examples of the computation of shadow lines  $L_K$  on the elliptic curve “389.a1” with the prime  $p = 5$ .

## 1. ANTICYCLOTOMIC CHARACTER

Let  $K$  be an imaginary quadratic field with ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}_K$  in which  $p$  splits as  $p\mathcal{O}_K = \pi\pi^c$ , where  $c$  denotes complex conjugation on  $K$ . Let  $\mathbb{A}^\times$  be the group of ideles of  $K$ . We also use  $c$  to denote the involution of  $\mathbb{A}^\times$  induced by complex conjugation on  $K$ . For any finite place  $v$  of  $K$ , let  $K_v$  be the completion of  $K$  at  $v$  and  $\mathcal{O}_v$  the ring of integers of  $K_v$ . Let  $\Gamma(K)$  be the Galois group of the maximal  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -power extension of  $K$ . As in [MST06], we consider the idele class  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -vector space  $I(K) = \Gamma(K) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$ . By class field theory  $\Gamma(K)$  is a quotient of  $J' := \mathbb{A}^\times / K^\times \mathbb{C}^\times \prod_{w \nmid p} \mathcal{O}_w^\times$  by its finite torsion subgroup  $T$ , see the proof of Theorem

<sup>1</sup>The formula appearing in [MST06, §2.9] contains a sign error which is corrected here.

13.4 in [Wa97]. The bar in the definition of  $J'$  denotes closure in the idelic topology, and the subgroup  $T$  is the kernel of the  $N$ -th power map on  $J'$  where  $N$  is the order of the finite group

$$\mathbb{A}^\times / \overline{K^\times \mathbb{C}^\times \prod_{w \nmid p} \mathcal{O}_w^\times (1 + \pi \mathcal{O}_\pi) (1 + \pi^c \mathcal{O}_{\pi^c})}.$$

Thus we have

$$(1) \quad I(K) = J'/T \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

We shall use this idelic description of  $\Gamma(K)$  in what follows.

**Definition 1.1** (Anticyclotomic  $p$ -adic idele class character). *An anticyclotomic  $p$ -adic idele class character is a continuous homomorphism*

$$\rho : \mathbb{A}^\times / K^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$$

such that  $\rho \circ c = -\rho$ .

**Lemma 1.2.** *Every  $p$ -adic idele class character*

$$\rho : \mathbb{A}^\times / K^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$$

factors via the natural projection

$$\mathbb{A}^\times / K^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^\times / \left( K^\times \mathbb{C}^\times \prod_{w \nmid p} \mathcal{O}_w^\times \prod_{v|p} \mu_v \right).$$

*Proof.* This is an immediate consequence of the fact that  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is a torsion-free pro- $p$  group.  $\square$

The aim of this section is to define a non-trivial anticyclotomic  $p$ -adic idele class character. By the identification (1), such a character will give rise to a  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -linear map  $I(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$  which cuts out the anticyclotomic  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension of  $K$ .

**1.1. The class number one case.** We now explicitly construct an anticyclotomic  $p$ -adic idele class character  $\rho$  in the case when the class number of  $K$  is 1.

Recall our assumption that  $p$  splits in  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  as  $p\mathcal{O}_K = \pi\pi^c$  and let

$$U_\pi = 1 + \pi\mathcal{O}_\pi \quad \text{and} \quad U_{\pi^c} = 1 + \pi^c\mathcal{O}_{\pi^c}.$$

Define a continuous homomorphism

$$\varphi : \mathbb{A}^\times \rightarrow U_\pi \times U_{\pi^c}$$

as follows. Let  $(x_v)_v \in \mathbb{A}^\times$ . Under our assumption that  $K$  has class number 1, we can find  $\alpha \in K^\times$  such that

$$\alpha x_v \in \mathcal{O}_v^\times \quad \text{for all finite } v.$$

Indeed, the ideal  $\mathfrak{a}_v$  corresponding to the place  $v$  is principal, say generated by  $\varpi_v \in \mathcal{O}_K$ . Then take  $\alpha = \prod_v \varpi_v^{-\text{ord}_v(x_v)}$ , where the product is taken over all finite places  $v$  of  $K$ . We define

$$(2) \quad \varphi((x_v)_v) = ((\alpha x_\pi)^{p-1}, (\alpha x_{\pi^c})^{p-1}).$$

Note that since  $p$  is split in  $K$  we have  $\mathcal{O}_\pi^\times \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \cong \mu_{p-1} \times U_\pi$ , and similarly for  $\pi^c$ . To see that  $\varphi$  is independent of the choice of  $\alpha$ , we note that any other choice  $\alpha' \in K^\times$  differs from  $\alpha$  by an element of  $\mathcal{O}_K^\times$ . Since  $K$  is an imaginary quadratic field,  $\mathcal{O}_K^\times$  consists entirely of roots of unity. In particular, under the embedding  $K \hookrightarrow K_\pi$  we see that  $\mathcal{O}_K^\times \hookrightarrow \mu_{p-1}$ . Thus, any ambiguity about  $\alpha$  is killed when we raise  $\alpha$  to the  $(p-1)$ -power. Therefore,  $\varphi$  is well-defined. The continuity of  $\varphi$  is easily verified.

For any finite place  $v$ , let  $\mu_v$  denote the group of roots of unity in  $\mathcal{O}_v$ . Then we have the following result.

**Proposition 1.3.** *Suppose that  $K$  has class number 1. Then the map  $\varphi$  defined in (2) induces an isomorphism of topological groups*

$$\mathbb{A}^\times / \left( K^\times \mathbb{C}^\times \prod_{w \nmid p} \mathcal{O}_w^\times \prod_{v|p} \mu_v \right) \rightarrow U_\pi \times U_{\pi^c}.$$

*Proof.* For  $v \in \{\pi, \pi^c\}$ , the  $p$ -adic logarithm gives an isomorphism  $U_v \cong 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow p\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Hence, raising to the power  $(p-1)$  is an automorphism on  $U_v$  for  $v \in \{\pi, \pi^c\}$  and consequently  $\varphi$  is surjective. It is easy to see that  $K^\times \mathbb{C}^\times \prod_{w \nmid p} \mathcal{O}_w^\times \subset \ker \varphi$ . Since  $\mu_v \cong \mathbb{F}_p^\times$  for  $v \in \{\pi, \pi^c\}$ , we have  $\prod_{v|p} \mu_v \subset \ker \varphi$ . We claim that  $\ker \varphi = K^\times \mathbb{C}^\times \prod_{w \nmid p} \mathcal{O}_w^\times \prod_{v|p} \mu_v$ . Let  $(x_v)_v \in \ker \varphi$  and let  $\alpha \in K^\times$  be such that  $\alpha x_v \in \mathcal{O}_v^\times$  for all finite  $v$ . It suffices to show that  $(\alpha x_v)_v \in \mathbb{C}^\times \prod_{w \nmid p} \mathcal{O}_w^\times \prod_{v|p} \mu_v$ . This is clear: since  $(x_v)_v \in \ker \varphi$ , we have  $\alpha x_v \in \mu_v$  for  $v \in \{\pi, \pi^c\}$ .

Finally, since  $\varphi$  is a continuous open map, it follows that  $\varphi$  induces the desired homeomorphism.  $\square$

By Lemma 1.2 we have reduced the problem of constructing an anticyclotomic  $p$ -adic idele class character to the problem of constructing a character

$$(3) \quad \chi : U_\pi \times U_{\pi^c} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$$

satisfying  $\chi \circ c = -\chi$ . Note that this last condition implies that  $\chi(x, y) = \chi(x/y^c, 1)$ . Explicitly:

$$(4) \quad \chi(x, y) = -\chi \circ c(x, y) = -\chi(y^c, x^c) = -\chi(y^c, 1) - \chi(1, x^c) = -\chi(y^c, 1) + \chi(x, 1) = \chi(x/y^c, 1).$$

In other words,  $\chi$  factors via the surjection

$$f_\pi : U_\pi \times U_{\pi^c} \twoheadrightarrow U_\pi \\ (x, y) \mapsto x/y^c.$$

Therefore, it is enough to define a character  $U_\pi \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Fixing an isomorphism of valued fields  $\psi : K_\pi \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$  gives an identification  $U_\pi \cong 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Now, up to scaling, there is only one choice of character, namely  $\log_p : 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow p\mathbb{Z}_p$ . We write  $\log_p$  for the unique group homomorphism  $\log_p : \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q}_p, +)$  with  $\log_p(p) = 0$  extending  $\log_p : 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow p\mathbb{Z}_p$ . The extension to  $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$  of the map  $\log_p$  is explicitly given by

$$\log_p(u) = \frac{1}{p-1} \log_p(u^{p-1}).$$

We choose the normalization  $\rho = \frac{1}{p(p-1)} \log_p \circ \psi \circ f_\pi \circ \varphi$ . We summarize our construction of the anticyclotomic  $p$ -adic idele class character  $\rho$  in the following proposition.

**Proposition 1.4.** *Suppose that  $K$  has class number 1. Fix a choice of isomorphism  $\psi : K_\pi \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$ . Consider the map  $\rho : \mathbb{A}^\times / K^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$  such that*

$$\rho((x_v)_v) = \frac{1}{p} \log_p \circ \psi \left( \frac{\alpha x_\pi}{\alpha^c x_{\pi^c}^c} \right)$$

where  $\alpha \in K^\times$  is such that  $\alpha x_v \in \mathcal{O}_v^\times$  for all finite  $v$ . Then  $\rho$  is the unique (up to scaling) non-trivial anticyclotomic  $p$ -adic idele class character.

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha \in K^\times$  be such that  $\alpha x_v \in \mathcal{O}_v^\times$  for all finite  $v$ . By our earlier discussion and the definition of the extension of  $\log_p$  to  $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho((x_v)_v) &= \frac{1}{p(p-1)} \log_p \circ \psi \left( \frac{(\alpha x_\pi)^{p-1}}{(\alpha^c x_{\pi^c}^c)^{p-1}} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \log_p \circ \psi \left( \frac{\alpha x_\pi}{\alpha^c x_{\pi^c}^c} \right). \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

**1.2. The general case.** There is a simple generalization of the construction of  $\rho$  to the case when the class number of  $K$  may be greater than one. Let  $h$  be the class number of  $K$ . We can no longer define the homomorphism  $\varphi$  of (2) on the whole of  $\mathbb{A}^\times$  because  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is no longer assumed to be a principal ideal domain. However, we can define

$$\varphi_h : (\mathbb{A}^\times)^h \rightarrow U_\pi \times U_{\pi^c}$$

in a similar way, as follows. Let  $\mathfrak{a}_v$  be the ideal of  $K$  corresponding to the place  $v$ . Then  $\mathfrak{a}_v^h$  is principal, say generated by  $\varpi_v \in \mathcal{O}_K$ . For  $(x_v)_v \in \mathbb{A}^\times$  we set  $\alpha(v) = \varpi_v^{-\text{ord}_v(x_v)}$ . Then  $\alpha(v)x_v^h \in \mathcal{O}_v^\times$  and  $\alpha(v) \in \mathcal{O}_w^\times$  for all  $w \neq v$ . Note that  $\alpha(v) = 1$  for all but finitely many  $v$ . Set  $\alpha = \prod_v \alpha(v)$  and observe that  $\alpha x_v^h \in \mathcal{O}_v^\times$  for all  $v$ . Then we define  $\varphi_h$  by

$$(5) \quad \varphi_h((x_v)_v) = ((\alpha x_\pi^h)^{p-1}, (\alpha x_{\pi^c}^h)^{p-1}).$$

Fix an isomorphism  $\psi : K_\pi \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$ . As before, we can now use the  $p$ -adic logarithm to define an anticyclotomic character  $\rho : (\mathbb{A}^\times)^h \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$  by setting

$$\rho = \frac{1}{p(p-1)} \log_p \circ \psi \circ f_\pi \circ \varphi_h.$$

We extend the definition of  $\rho$  to the whole of  $\mathbb{A}^\times$  by setting  $\rho((x_v)_v) = \frac{1}{h} \rho((x_v)_v^h)$ .

As in Proposition 1.4, we now summarize our construction of the anticyclotomic  $p$ -adic idele class character in this more general setting.

**Proposition 1.5.** *Let  $h$  be the class number of  $K$ . Fix a choice of isomorphism  $\psi : K_\pi \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$ . Consider the map  $\rho : \mathbb{A}^\times/K^\times \rightarrow \frac{1}{h}\mathbb{Z}_p$  such that*

$$\rho((x_v)_v) = \frac{1}{hp} \log_p \circ \psi \left( \frac{\alpha x_\pi^h}{\alpha^c x_{\pi^c}^h} \right)$$

where  $\alpha \in K^\times$  is such that  $\alpha x_v^h \in \mathcal{O}_v^\times$  for all finite  $v$ . Then  $\rho$  is the unique (up to scaling) non-trivial anticyclotomic  $p$ -adic idele class character.

**Remark 1.6.** *Note that  $\rho : \mathbb{A}^\times/K^\times \rightarrow \frac{1}{h}\mathbb{Z}_p$ , so if  $p \mid h$  then  $\rho$  is not strictly an anticyclotomic idele class character in the sense of Definition 1.1. However, the choice of scaling of  $\rho$  is of no great importance since our purpose is to use  $\rho$  to define an anticyclotomic height pairing on  $E(K)$  and compute the kernel of this pairing.*

**Remark 1.7.** *The ideal  $\prod_v \mathfrak{a}_v^{-h \text{ord}_v(x_v)}$  is principal and a generator of this ideal is the element  $\alpha \in K$  that we use when evaluating the character  $\rho$  defined in Proposition 1.5.*

## 2. ANTICYCLOTOMIC $p$ -ADIC HEIGHT PAIRING

We wish to compute the anticyclotomic  $p$ -adic height  $h_\rho$  using our explicit description of the anticyclotomic idele class character  $\rho$  given in Proposition 1.5. For any finite prime  $w$  of  $K$ , the natural inclusion  $K_w^\times \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^\times$  induces a map  $\iota_w : K_w^\times \rightarrow I(K)$ , and we write  $\rho_w = \rho \circ \iota_w$ . For every finite place  $w$  of  $K$  and every non-zero point  $P \in E(K)$  we can find  $d_w(P) \in \mathcal{O}_w$  and  $a_w(P), b_w(P) \in \mathcal{O}_w$ , each relatively prime to  $d_w(P)$ , such that

$$(6) \quad (\iota_w(x(P)), \iota_w(y(P))) = \left( \frac{a_w(P)}{d_w(P)^2}, \frac{b_w(P)}{d_w(P)^3} \right).$$

We refer to  $d_w(P)$  as a *local denominator* of  $P$  at  $w$ . The existence of  $d_w(P)$  follows from the Weierstrass equation for  $E$  and the fact that  $\mathcal{O}_w$  is a principal ideal domain. Finally, we let  $\sigma_\pi$  denote the  $\pi$ -adic  $\sigma$ -function of  $E$ .

Given a non-torsion point  $P \in E(K)$  such that

- $P$  reduces to 0 modulo primes dividing  $p$ , and

•  $P$  reduces to the connected component of all special fibers of the Neron model of  $E$ , we can compute its anticyclotomic  $p$ -adic height using the following formula<sup>2</sup> [MST06, §2.9] :

$$(7) \quad h_\rho(P) = \rho_\pi(\sigma_\pi(P)) - \rho_\pi(\sigma_\pi(P^c)) + \sum_{w \nmid p\infty} \rho_w(d_w(P)).$$

In the following lemmas, we make some observations which simplify the computation of  $h_\rho(P)$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $w$  be a finite prime such that  $w \nmid p$ . Let  $x_w \in K_w^\times$ . Then  $\rho_w(x_w)$  only depends on  $\text{ord}_w(x_w)$ . In particular, if  $x_w \in \mathcal{O}_w^\times$ , then  $\rho_w(x_w) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* This follows immediately from Lemma 1.2. Alternatively, note that the auxiliary element  $\alpha$  used in the definition of  $\rho$  only depends on the valuation of  $x_w$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $w$  be a finite prime of  $K$ . Then  $\rho_{w^c} = -\rho_w \circ c$ . In particular, if  $w = w^c$ , then  $\rho_w = 0$ .*

*Proof.* This is an immediate consequence of the relations  $\rho \circ c = -\rho$  and  $c \circ \iota_{\lambda^c} = \iota_\lambda \circ c$ .  $\square$

Lemma 2.2 allows us to write the formula (7) for the anticyclotomic  $p$ -adic height as follows:

$$(8) \quad h_\rho(P) = \rho_\pi \left( \frac{\sigma_\pi(P)}{\sigma_\pi(P^c)} \right) + \sum_{\substack{\ell = \lambda\lambda^c \\ \ell \neq p}} \rho_\lambda \left( \frac{d_\lambda(P)}{d_{\lambda^c}(P)^c} \right).$$

**Remark 2.3.** *In order to implement an algorithm for calculating the anticyclotomic  $p$ -adic height  $h_\rho$ , we must determine a finite set of primes which includes all the split primes  $\ell = \lambda\lambda^c \nmid p$  for which  $\rho_\lambda \left( \frac{d_\lambda(P)}{d_{\lambda^c}(P)^c} \right) \neq 0$ . Let  $k_\lambda$  be the residue field of  $K$  at  $\lambda$  and set  $\mathcal{D}(P) = \prod_{\lambda \nmid p\infty} (\#k_\lambda)^{\text{ord}_\lambda(d_\lambda(P))}$ . It turns out that  $\mathcal{D}(P)$  can be computed easily from the leading coefficient of the minimal polynomial of the  $x$ -coordinate of  $P$  [BCS, Proposition 4.2]. Observe that  $\rho_\lambda \left( \frac{d_\lambda(P)}{d_{\lambda^c}(P)^c} \right) \neq 0$  implies that  $\text{ord}_\lambda(d_\lambda(P)) \neq 0$  or  $\text{ord}_{\lambda^c}(d_{\lambda^c}(P)) \neq 0$ . Hence, the only primes  $\ell \neq p$  which contribute to the sum in (8) are those that are split in  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  and divide  $\mathcal{D}(P)$ . However, in the examples that we have attempted, factoring  $\mathcal{D}(P)$  is difficult due to its size.*

We now package together the contribution to the anticyclotomic  $p$ -adic height coming from primes not dividing  $p$ . Consider the ideal  $x(P)\mathcal{O}_K$  and denote by  $\delta(P) \subset \mathcal{O}_K$  its denominator ideal. Observe that by (6) we know that all prime factors of  $\delta(P)$  appear with even powers. Fix  $\mathbf{d}_h(P) \in \mathcal{O}_K$  as follows:

$$(9) \quad \mathbf{d}_h(P)\mathcal{O}_K = \prod_{\mathfrak{q}} \mathfrak{q}^{h \cdot \text{ord}_{\mathfrak{q}}(\delta(P))/2}$$

where  $h$  is the class number of  $K$ , and the product is over all prime ideals  $\mathfrak{q}$  in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $P \in E(K)$  be a non-torsion point which reduces to 0 modulo primes dividing  $p$ , and to the connected component of all special fibers of the Neron model of  $E$ . Then the anticyclotomic  $p$ -adic height of  $P$  is*

$$h_\rho(P) = \frac{1}{p} \log_p \left( \psi \left( \frac{\sigma_\pi(P)}{\sigma_\pi(P^c)} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{hp} \log_p \left( \psi \left( \frac{\mathbf{d}_h(P)^c}{\mathbf{d}_h(P)} \right) \right),$$

where  $\psi : K_\pi \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$  is the fixed automorphism.

*Proof.* By (7) we have

$$(10) \quad h_\rho(P) = \rho_\pi \left( \frac{\sigma_\pi(P)}{\sigma_\pi(P^c)} \right) + \sum_{w \nmid p\infty} \rho_w(d_w(P)).$$

<sup>2</sup> The formula appearing in [MST06, §2.9] contains a sign error which is corrected here.

Let  $P = (x, y) \in E(K)$ . Since  $P$  reduces to the identity modulo  $\pi$  and  $\pi^c$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}_\pi(x) &= -2e_\pi, & \text{ord}_\pi(y) &= -3e_\pi, \\ \text{ord}_{\pi^c}(x) &= -2e_{\pi^c}, & \text{ord}_{\pi^c}(y) &= -3e_{\pi^c}, \end{aligned}$$

for positive integers  $e_\pi$  and  $e_{\pi^c}$ . Since the  $p$ -adic  $\sigma$  function has the form  $\sigma(t) = t + \cdots \in t\mathbb{Z}_p[[t]]$ , we see that

$$\text{ord}_\pi(\sigma_\pi(P)) = \text{ord}_\pi\left(\sigma_\pi\left(\frac{-x}{y}\right)\right) = \text{ord}_\pi\left(\frac{-x}{y}\right) = e_\pi$$

and similarly

$$\text{ord}_\pi(\sigma_\pi(P^c)) = \text{ord}_\pi\left(\frac{-x^c}{y^c}\right) = \text{ord}_{\pi^c}\left(\frac{-x}{y}\right) = e_{\pi^c}.$$

Thus,

$$(11) \quad \text{ord}_\pi\left(\frac{\sigma_\pi(P)}{\sigma_\pi(P^c)}\right) = e_\pi - e_{\pi^c}.$$

Let  $\alpha \in K^\times$  generate the principal ideal  $\pi^h$ . By (11) and the definition of the anticyclotomic  $p$ -adic idele class character, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_\pi\left(\frac{\sigma_\pi(P)}{\sigma_\pi(P^c)}\right) &= \frac{1}{hp} \log_p \circ \psi\left(\frac{\alpha^{e_{\pi^c} - e_\pi} \sigma_\pi(P)^h}{(\alpha^c)^{e_{\pi^c} - e_\pi} \sigma_\pi(P^c)^h}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \log_p\left(\psi\left(\frac{\sigma_\pi(P)}{\sigma_\pi(P^c)}\right)\right) + \frac{1}{hp} \log_p\left(\psi\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha^c}\right)^{e_{\pi^c} - e_\pi}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Now it remains to show that

$$(12) \quad \sum_{w \nmid p\infty} \rho_w(d_w(P)) = \frac{1}{hp} \log_p\left(\psi\left(\frac{\mathbf{d}_h(P)^c}{\mathbf{d}_h(P)}\right)\right) - \frac{1}{hp} \log_p\left(\psi\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha^c}\right)^{e_{\pi^c} - e_\pi}\right).$$

By the definition of  $\rho$ , we have

$$(13) \quad \sum_{w \nmid p\infty} \rho_w(d_w(P)) = \frac{1}{h} \sum_{w \nmid p\infty} \rho_w(d_w(P)^h).$$

Since  $\text{ord}_w(d_w(P)^h) = \text{ord}_w(\mathbf{d}_h(P))$ , Lemma 2.1 gives  $\rho_w(d_w(P)^h) = \rho_w(\mathbf{d}_h(P))$  for every  $w \nmid p\infty$ . Substituting this into (13) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{w \nmid p\infty} \rho_w(d_w(P)) &= \frac{1}{h} \sum_{w \nmid p\infty} \rho_w(\mathbf{d}_h(P)) \\ &= \frac{1}{h} \sum_{w \nmid p\infty} \rho \circ \iota_w(\mathbf{d}_h(P)) \\ &= \frac{1}{h} \rho\left(\prod_{w \nmid p\infty} \iota_w(\mathbf{d}_h(P))\right). \end{aligned}$$

Now  $\prod_{w \nmid p\infty} \iota_w(\mathbf{d}_h(P))$  is the idele with entry  $\mathbf{d}_h(P)$  at every place  $w \nmid p\infty$  and entry 1 at all other places. Define  $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_K$  by  $\mathbf{d}_h(P) = \alpha^{e_\pi} (\alpha^c)^{e_{\pi^c}} \beta$ . Thus, by Proposition 1.5 and Remark 1.7, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h} \rho\left(\prod_{w \nmid p\infty} \iota_w(\mathbf{d}_h(P))\right) &= \frac{1}{hp} \log_p\left(\psi\left(\frac{\beta^c}{\beta}\right)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{hp} \log_p\left(\psi\left(\frac{\mathbf{d}_h(P)^c}{\mathbf{d}_h(P)}\right)\right) - \frac{1}{hp} \log_p\left(\psi\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha^c}\right)^{e_{\pi^c} - e_\pi}\right) \end{aligned}$$

as required. This concludes the proof.  $\square$

In [MST06], the authors describe the “universal”  $p$ -adic height pairing  $(P, Q) \in I(K)$  of two points  $P, Q \in E(K)$ . Composition of the universal height pairing with any  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -linear map  $\rho : I(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$  gives rise to a canonical symmetric bilinear pairing

$$(\ , \ )_\rho : E(K) \times E(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$$

called the  $\rho$ -height pairing. The  $\rho$ -height of a point  $P \in E(K)$  is defined to be  $-\frac{1}{2}(P, P)_\rho$ .

Henceforth, we fix  $\rho$  to be the anticyclotomic  $p$ -adic idele class character defined in §1. The corresponding  $\rho$ -height pairing is referred to as the *the anticyclotomic  $p$ -adic height pairing*, and it is denoted as follows:

$$\langle \ , \ \rangle = (\ , \ )_\rho : E(K) \times E(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$$

Observe that

$$\langle P, Q \rangle = h_\rho(P) + h_\rho(Q) - h_\rho(P + Q).$$

Let  $E(K)^+$  and  $E(K)^-$  denote the  $+1$ -eigenspace and the  $-1$ -eigenspace, respectively, for the action of complex conjugation on  $E(K)$ . Since  $\sigma_\pi$  is an odd function, using (8) we see that the anticyclotomic height satisfies

$$h_\rho(P) = 0 \quad \text{for all } P \in E(K)^+ \cup E(K)^-.$$

Therefore, the anticyclotomic  $p$ -adic height pairing satisfies

$$(14) \quad \langle E(K)^+, E(K)^+ \rangle = \langle E(K)^-, E(K)^- \rangle = 0.$$

Consequently, if  $P \in E(K)^+$  and  $Q \in E(K)^-$ , then

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle P, Q \rangle &= h_\rho(P) + h_\rho(Q) - h_\rho(P + Q) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\langle P, P \rangle - \frac{1}{2}\langle Q, Q \rangle - h_\rho(P + Q) \\ &= -h_\rho(P + Q). \end{aligned}$$

### 3. THE SHADOW LINE

Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $p$  an odd prime of good ordinary reduction. Fix an imaginary quadratic extension  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  satisfying the Heegner hypothesis for  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  (i.e., all primes dividing the conductor of  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  split in  $K$ ). Consider the anticyclotomic  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension  $K_\infty$  of  $K$ . Let  $K_n$  denote the subfield of  $K_\infty$  whose Galois group over  $K$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ . The module of *universal norms* for this  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{U} := \bigcap_{n \geq 0} N_{K_n/K}(E(K_n) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p) \subseteq E(K) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p,$$

where  $N_{K_n/K}$  is the norm map induced by the map  $E(K_n) \rightarrow E(K)$  given by  $P \mapsto \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K_n/K)} P^\sigma$ .

By work of Cornut [Co02] and Vatsal [Va03] we know that for  $n$  large enough, we have a non-torsion Heegner point in  $E(K_n)$ . Since  $p$  is a prime of good ordinary reduction, the trace down to  $K_{n-1}$  of the Heegner points defined over  $K_n$  is related to Heegner points defined over  $K_{n-1}$ , see [BÇS, §2] for further details. Due to this relation among Heegner points defined over the different layers of  $K_\infty$ , if the  $p$ -primary Tate-Shafarevich group of  $E/K$  is finite then these points give rise to non-trivial universal norms. Hence, if the  $p$ -primary Tate-Shafarevich group of  $E/K$  is finite then  $\mathcal{U}$  is non-trivial whenever the Heegner hypothesis holds. By [Be95], [ÇW08], and [Çi09] we know that if  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(E_p)/\mathbb{Q})$  is not solvable then  $\mathcal{U} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ .

Consider

$$L_K := \mathcal{U} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p.$$



If the  $p$ -primary Tate-Shafarevich group of  $E/K$  then  $L_K$  is a line in the vector space  $E(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$  known as the *shadow line* associated to the triple  $(E, K, p)$ . The space  $E(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$  splits as the direct sum of two eigenspaces under the action of complex conjugation

$$E(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p = E(K)^+ \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \oplus E(K)^- \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

Observe that

$$E(K)^+ \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p = E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \quad \text{and} \quad E(K)^- \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \simeq E^K(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p,$$

where  $E^K$  denotes the quadratic twist of  $E$  with respect to  $K$ . Since the module  $\mathcal{U}$  is fixed by complex conjugation, the shadow line  $L_K$  lies in one of the eigenspaces:

$$L_K \subseteq E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \quad \text{or} \quad L_K \subseteq E(K)^- \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

The assumption of the Heegner hypothesis forces the analytic rank of  $E/K$  to be odd, and hence the dimension of  $E(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$  is odd by the Parity Conjecture [Ne01] and our assumption of the finiteness of the  $p$ -part of the Tate-Shafarevich group of  $E/K$ . Hence,  $\dim E(K)^- \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \neq \dim E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ . The Sign Conjecture states that  $L_K$  is expected to lie in the eigenspace of higher dimension [MR03].

Our main motivating question is the following:

**Question 3.1** (Mazur, Rubin). *Consider an elliptic curve  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  of positive even analytic rank  $r$ , an imaginary quadratic field  $K$  such that  $E/K$  has analytic rank  $r + 1$ , and a prime  $p$  of good ordinary reduction such that the  $p$ -part of the Tate-Shafarevich group of  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  is finite. By the Sign Conjecture, we expect  $L_K$  to lie in  $E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ . As  $K$  varies, we presumably get different shadow lines  $L_K$ . What are these lines and how are they distributed in  $E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ ?*

Note that in the statement of the above question we make use of the following results:

- (1) Since  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  has positive even analytic rank we know that  $\dim E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \geq 2$  by work of Skinner-Urban [SU14, Theorem 2] and work of Nekovar [Ne01] on the Parity Conjecture.
- (2) Since our assumptions on the analytic ranks of  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  and  $E/K$  imply that the analytic rank of  $E^K/\mathbb{Q}$  is 1, by work of Gross-Zagier [GZ] and Kolyvagin [Ko90] we know that
  - (a)  $\dim E(K)^- \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p = 1$ ;
  - (b) the  $p$ -primary Tate-Shafarevich group of  $E^K/\mathbb{Q}$  is finite, and hence the finiteness of the  $p$ -primary Tate-Shafarevich group of  $E/K$  follows from the finiteness of the  $p$ -primary Tate-Shafarevich group of  $E/\mathbb{Q}$ .

Thus by (2b) we know that  $L_K \subseteq E(K)^- \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ , while (1) and (2a) are the input to the Sign Conjecture.

It is natural to start the study of Question 3.1 by considering elliptic curves  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  of analytic rank 2. In this case, assuming that

$$(16) \quad \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E(\mathbb{Q}) = 2,$$

we identify  $L_K$  in  $E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$  by making use of the anticyclotomic  $p$ -adic height pairing, viewing it as a pairing on  $E(K) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ . This method forces us to restrict our attention to quadratic fields  $K$  where  $p$  splits. It is known that  $\mathcal{U}$  is contained in the kernel of the anticyclotomic  $p$ -adic height pairing [MT83, Proposition 4.5.2]. In fact, in our situation, the properties of this pairing and (16) together with the fact that  $\dim E(K)^- \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p = 1$  imply that either  $\mathcal{U}$  is the kernel of the pairing or the pairing is trivial. Thus computing the anticyclotomic  $p$ -adic height pairing allows us to verify the Sign Conjecture and determine the shadow line  $L_K$ .

In order to describe the lines  $L_K$  for multiple quadratic fields  $K$ , we fix two independent generators  $P_1, P_2$  of  $E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$  (with  $E$  given by its reduced minimal model) and compute the slope of  $L_K \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$  in the corresponding coordinate system. For each quadratic field  $K$  we compute a non-torsion point  $R \in E(K)^-$  (on the reduced minimal model of  $E$ ). The kernel of the anticyclotomic  $p$ -adic height pairing on  $E(K) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$  is generated by  $aP_1 + bP_2$  for  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  such that  $\langle aP_1 + bP_2, R \rangle = 0$ . Then by (15) the shadow line  $L_K \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$

in  $E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$  is generated by  $h_\rho(P_2 + R)P_1 - h_\rho(P_1 + R)P_2$  and its slope with respect to the coordinate system induced by  $\{P_1, P_2\}$  equals

$$-h_\rho(P_1 + R)/h_\rho(P_2 + R).$$

#### 4. ALGORITHMS

Let  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  be an elliptic curve of analytic rank 2; see [Br00, Chapter 4] for an algorithm that can provably verify the non-triviality of the second derivative of the  $L$ -function. Our aim is to compute shadow lines on the elliptic curve  $E$ . In order to do this using the method described in §3 we need to

- verify that  $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E(\mathbb{Q}) = 2$ , and
- compute two  $\mathbb{Z}$ -independent points  $P_1, P_2 \in E(\mathbb{Q})$ .

By work of Kato [Ka04, Theorem 17.4], computing the  $\ell$ -adic analytic rank of  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  for any prime  $\ell$  of good ordinary reduction gives an upper bound on  $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E(\mathbb{Q})$  (see [SW13, Proposition 10.1]). Using the techniques in [SW13, §3], which have been implemented in **Sage**, one can compute an upper bound on the  $\ell$ -adic analytic rank using an approximation of the  $\ell$ -adic  $L$ -series, thereby obtaining an upper bound on  $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E(\mathbb{Q})$ . Since the analytic rank of  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  is 2, barring the failure of standard conjectures we find that  $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E(\mathbb{Q}) \leq 2$ . Then using work of Cremona [Cr97, Section 3.5] implemented in **Sage**, we search for points of bounded height, increasing the height until we find two  $\mathbb{Z}$ -independent points  $P_1, P_2 \in E(\mathbb{Q})$ . We have thus computed a basis of  $E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ .

We will now proceed to describe the algorithms that allow us to compute shadow lines on the elliptic curve  $E/\mathbb{Q}$ .

**Algorithm 4.1.** *Generator of  $E(K)^- \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ .*

*Input:*

- an elliptic curve  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  (given by its reduced minimal model) of analytic rank 2,
- an odd prime  $p$  of good ordinary reduction;
- an imaginary quadratic field  $K$  such that
  - the analytic rank of  $E/K$  equals 3, and
  - all rational primes dividing the conductor of  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  split in  $K$ .

*Output:* A generator of  $E(K)^- \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$  (given as a point on the reduced minimal model of  $E/\mathbb{Q}$ ).

- (1) Let  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ . Compute a short model of  $E^K$ , of the form  $y^2 = x^3 + ad^2x + bd^3$ .
- (2) Our assumption on the analytic ranks of  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  and  $E/K$  implies that the analytic rank of  $E^K/\mathbb{Q}$  is 1. Compute a non-torsion point<sup>3</sup> of  $E^K(\mathbb{Q})$  and denote it  $(x_0, y_0)$ . Then  $(\frac{x_0}{d}, \frac{y_0\sqrt{d}}{d^2})$  is an element of  $E(K)$  on the model  $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ .
- (3) Output the image of  $(\frac{x_0}{d}, \frac{y_0\sqrt{d}}{d^2})$  on the reduced minimal model of  $E$ .

**Algorithm 4.2.** *Computing the anticyclotomic  $p$ -adic height associated to  $(E, K, p)$ .*

*Input:*

- elliptic curve  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  (given by its reduced minimal model);
- an odd prime  $p$  of good ordinary reduction;

---

<sup>3</sup>Note that by [GZ] and [Ko90] the analytic rank of  $E^K/\mathbb{Q}$  being 1 implies that the algebraic rank of  $E^K/\mathbb{Q}$  is 1 and the Tate-Shafarevich group of  $E^K/\mathbb{Q}$  is finite. Furthermore, in this case, computing a non-torsion point in  $E^K(\mathbb{Q})$  can be done by choosing an auxiliary imaginary quadratic field  $F$  satisfying the Heegner hypothesis for  $E^K/\mathbb{Q}$  such that the analytic rank of  $E^K/F$  is 1 and computing the corresponding basic Heegner point in  $E^K(F)$ .

- an imaginary quadratic field  $K$  such that  $p$  splits in  $K/\mathbb{Q}$ ;
- a non-torsion point  $P \in E(K)$ .

Output: The anticyclotomic  $p$ -adic height of  $P$ .

- (1) Let  $p\mathcal{O}_K = \pi\pi^c$ . Fix an identification  $\psi : K_\pi \simeq \mathbb{Q}_p$ . In particular,  $v_p(\psi(\pi)) = 1$ .
- (2) Let  $m_0 = \text{lcm}\{c_\ell\}$ , where  $\ell$  runs through the primes of bad reduction for  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  and  $c_\ell$  is the Tamagawa number at  $\ell$ . Compute<sup>4</sup>  $R = m_0P$ .
- (3) Determine the smallest positive integer  $n$  such that  $nR$  and  $nR^c$  reduce to  $0 \in E(\mathbb{F}_p)$  modulo  $\pi$ . Note that  $n$  is a divisor of  $\#E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ . Compute  $T = nR$ .
- (4) Compute  $\mathbf{d}_h(R) \in \mathcal{O}_K$  defined in (9) as a generator of the ideal

$$\prod_{\mathfrak{q}} \mathfrak{q}^{h \text{ord}_{\mathfrak{q}}(\delta(R))/2}$$

where  $h$  is the class number of  $K$ , the product is over all prime ideals  $\mathfrak{q}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ , and  $\delta(R)$  is the denominator ideal of  $x(R)\mathcal{O}_K$ .

- (5) Let  $f_n$  denote the  $n$ th division polynomial associated to  $E$ . Compute  $\mathbf{d}_h(T) = \mathbf{d}_h(nR) = f_n(R)^h \mathbf{d}_h(R)^{n^2}$ . Note that by Step (2) and Proposition 1 of Wuthrich [Wu04] we see that  $f_n(R)^h \mathbf{d}_h(R)^{n^2} \in \mathcal{O}_K$  since  $\mathbf{d}_h(T)$  is an element of  $K$  that is integral at every finite prime.
- (6) Compute  $\sigma_\pi(t) := \sigma_p(t)$  as a formal power series in  $t\mathbb{Z}_p[[t]]$  with sufficient precision. This equality holds since our elliptic curve  $E$  is defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .
- (7) We use Proposition 2.4 to determine the anticyclotomic  $p$ -adic height of  $T$ : compute

$$\begin{aligned} h_\rho(T) &= \frac{1}{p} \log_p \left( \psi \left( \frac{\sigma_\pi(T)}{\sigma_\pi(T^c)} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{hp} \log_p \left( \psi \left( \frac{\mathbf{d}_h(T)^c}{\mathbf{d}_h(T)} \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \log_p \left( \psi \left( \frac{\sigma_p \left( \frac{-x(T)}{y(T)} \right)}{\sigma_p \left( \frac{-x(T)^c}{y(T)^c} \right)} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{hp} \log_p \left( \psi \left( \frac{\mathbf{d}_h(T)^c}{\mathbf{d}_h(T)} \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \log_p \left( \frac{\sigma_p \left( \psi \left( \frac{-x(T)}{y(T)} \right) \right)}{\sigma_p \left( \psi \left( \frac{-x(T)^c}{y(T)^c} \right) \right)} \right) + \frac{1}{hp} \log_p \left( \psi \left( \frac{\mathbf{d}_h(T)^c}{\mathbf{d}_h(T)} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

- (8) Output the anticyclotomic  $p$ -adic height of  $P$ : compute<sup>5</sup>

$$h_\rho(P) = \frac{1}{n^2 m_0^2} h_\rho(T).$$

**Algorithm 4.3.** Shadow line attached to  $(E, K, p)$ .

Input:

- an elliptic curve  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  (given by its reduced minimal model) of analytic rank 2 such that  $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E(\mathbb{Q}) = 2$ ;
- an odd prime  $p$  of good ordinary reduction such that the  $p$ -part of the Tate-Shafarevich group of  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  is finite;
- two  $\mathbb{Z}$ -independent points  $P_1, P_2 \in E(\mathbb{Q})$ ;
- an imaginary quadratic field  $K$  such that

<sup>4</sup>Note that Step 2 and Step 3 are needed to ensure that the point whose anticyclotomic  $p$ -adic height we will compute using formula (7) satisfies the required conditions.

<sup>5</sup>As a consistency check we compute the height of  $nP$  and verify that  $h_\rho(nP) = \frac{1}{n^2} h_\rho(P)$  for positive integers  $n \leq 5$ .

- the analytic rank of  $E/K$  equals 3, and
- $p$  and all rational primes dividing the conductor of  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  split in  $K$ .

*Output:* The slope of the shadow line  $L_K \subseteq E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$  with respect to the coordinate system induced by  $\{P_1, P_2\}$ .

- (1) Use Algorithm 4.1 to compute a non-torsion point  $S \in E(K)^-$ . We then have generators  $P_1, P_2, S$  of  $E(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$  such that  $P_1, P_2 \in E(\mathbb{Q})$  and  $S \in E(K)^-$  (given as points on the reduced minimal model of  $E/\mathbb{Q}$ ).
- (2) Compute  $P_1 + S$  and  $P_2 + S$ .
- (3) Use Algorithm 4.2 to compute<sup>6</sup> the anticyclotomic  $p$ -adic heights:  $h_\rho(P_1 + S)$  and  $h_\rho(P_2 + S)$ . Finding that at least one of these heights is non-trivial implies that the shadow line associated to  $(E, K, p)$  lies in  $E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ , i.e., the Sign Conjecture holds for  $(E, K, p)$ .
- (4) The point  $h_\rho(P_2 + S)P_1 - h_\rho(P_1 + S)P_2$  is a generator of the shadow line associated to  $(E, K, p)$ . Output the slope of the shadow line  $L_K \subseteq E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$  with respect to the coordinate system induced by  $\{P_1, P_2\}$ : compute

$$-h_\rho(P_1 + S)/h_\rho(P_2 + S) \in \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

## 5. EXAMPLES

Let  $E$  be the elliptic curve “389.a1” [L, Elliptic Curve 389.a1] given by the model

$$y^2 + y = x^3 + x^2 - 2x.$$

We know that the analytic rank of  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  equals 2 [Br00, §6.1] and  $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E(\mathbb{Q}) = 2$ , see [Cr97]. In addition, 5 is a good ordinary prime for  $E$ . We find two  $\mathbb{Z}$ -independent points

$$P_1 = (-1, 1), P_2 = (0, 0) \in E(\mathbb{Q}).$$

We will now use the algorithms described in §4 to compute the slopes of two shadow lines on  $E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_5$  with respect to the coordinate system induced by  $\{P_1, P_2\}$ .

**5.1. Shadow line attached to  $(\text{“389.a1”}, \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-11}), 5)$ .** The imaginary quadratic field  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-11})$  satisfies the Heegner hypothesis for  $E$  and the quadratic twist  $E^K$  has analytic rank 1. Moreover, the prime 5 splits in  $K$ .

We use Algorithm 4.1 to find a non-torsion point  $S = (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{-11} - \frac{1}{2}) \in E(K)^-$ . We now proceed to compute the anticyclotomic  $p$ -adic heights of  $P_1 + S$  and  $P_2 + S$  which are needed to determine the slope of the shadow line associated to the triple  $(\text{“389.a1”}, \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-11}), 5)$ . We begin by computing

$$A_1 := P_1 + S = \left( -\frac{6}{25}\sqrt{-11} + \frac{27}{25}, -\frac{62}{125}\sqrt{-11} + \frac{29}{125} \right),$$

$$A_2 := P_2 + S = (-2\sqrt{-11}, -4\sqrt{-11} - 12).$$

We carry out the steps of Algorithm 4.2 to compute  $h_\rho(A_1)$ :

- (1) Let  $5\mathcal{O}_K = \pi\pi^c$ , where  $\pi = (\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-11} + \frac{3}{2})$  and  $\pi^c = (-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-11} + \frac{3}{2})$ . This allows us to fix an identification

$$\psi : K_\pi \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_5$$

that sends

$$\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-11} + \frac{3}{2} \mapsto 2 \cdot 5 + 5^2 + 3 \cdot 5^3 + 4 \cdot 5^4 + 4 \cdot 5^5 + 3 \cdot 5^7 + 5^8 + 5^9 + O(5^{10}).$$

---

<sup>6</sup>We compute the height of  $P_1 + P_2 + S$  as a consistency check.

- (2) Since the Tamagawa number at 389 is trivial, i.e.,  $c_{389} = 1$ , we have  $m_0 = 1$ . Thus  $R = A_1$ .  
(3) We find that  $n = 9$  is the smallest multiple of  $R$  and  $R^c$  such that both points reduce to 0 in  $E(\mathcal{O}_K/\pi)$ . Set  $T = 9R$ .  
(4) Note that the class number of  $K$  is  $h = 1$ . We find  $\mathbf{d}_h(R) = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-11} - \frac{3}{2}$ .  
(5) Let  $f_9$  denote the 9th division polynomial associated to  $E$ . We compute

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{d}_h(T) &= \mathbf{d}_h(9R) \\ &= f_9(R)\mathbf{d}_h(R)^9 \\ &= 24227041862247516754088925710922259344570\sqrt{-11} \\ &\quad - 1473553998959120341115896942557395263175125.\end{aligned}$$

- (6) We compute

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_\pi(t) &:= \sigma_5(t) \\ &= t + (4 + 5 + 3 \cdot 5^2 + 5^3 + 2 \cdot 5^4 + 3 \cdot 5^5 + 2 \cdot 5^6 + O(5^8)) t^3 \\ &\quad + (3 + 2 \cdot 5 + 2 \cdot 5^2 + 2 \cdot 5^3 + 2 \cdot 5^4 + 2 \cdot 5^5 + 2 \cdot 5^6 + O(5^7)) t^4 \\ &\quad + (1 + 5 + 5^2 + 5^3 + 3 \cdot 5^4 + 3 \cdot 5^5 + O(5^6)) t^5 \\ &\quad + (4 + 2 \cdot 5 + 2 \cdot 5^2 + 2 \cdot 5^3 + 3 \cdot 5^4 + O(5^5)) t^6 \\ &\quad + (4 + 3 \cdot 5 + 4 \cdot 5^2 + O(5^4)) t^7 + (3 + 3 \cdot 5^2 + O(5^3)) t^8 \\ &\quad + (3 \cdot 5 + O(5^2)) t^9 + (2 + O(5)) t^{10} + O(t^{11}).\end{aligned}$$

- (7) We use Proposition 2.4 to determine the anticyclotomic  $p$ -adic height of  $T$ : we compute

$$\begin{aligned}h_\rho(T) &= \frac{1}{p} \log_p \left( \psi \left( \frac{\sigma_\pi(T)}{\sigma_\pi(T^c)} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{hp} \log_p \left( \psi \left( \frac{\mathbf{d}_h(T)^c}{\mathbf{d}_h(T)} \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \log_p \left( \frac{\sigma_p \left( \psi \left( \frac{-x(T)}{y(T)} \right) \right)}{\sigma_p \left( \psi \left( \frac{-x(T)^c}{y(T)^c} \right) \right)} \right) + \frac{1}{hp} \log_p \left( \psi \left( \frac{\mathbf{d}_h(T)^c}{\mathbf{d}_h(T)} \right) \right) \\ &= 3 + 5 + 5^2 + 4 \cdot 5^4 + 3 \cdot 5^5 + 4 \cdot 5^7 + 3 \cdot 5^8 + 5^9 + O(5^{10}).\end{aligned}$$

- (8) We output the anticyclotomic  $p$ -adic height of  $A_1$ :

$$\begin{aligned}h_\rho(A_1) &= \frac{1}{9^2} h_\rho(T) \\ &= 3 + 3 \cdot 5 + 3 \cdot 5^2 + 2 \cdot 5^4 + 4 \cdot 5^5 + 4 \cdot 5^6 + 3 \cdot 5^8 + O(5^{10}).\end{aligned}$$

Repeating Steps (1) – (8) for  $A_2$  yields

$$h_\rho(A_2) = 3 + 2 \cdot 5 + 4 \cdot 5^2 + 2 \cdot 5^5 + 5^6 + 4 \cdot 5^7 + 4 \cdot 5^9 + O(5^{10}).$$

As a consistency check, we also compute

$$h_\rho(P_1 + P_2 + S) = 1 + 5 + 3 \cdot 5^2 + 5^3 + 2 \cdot 5^4 + 5^5 + 5^6 + 4 \cdot 5^8 + 4 \cdot 5^9 + O(5^{10}).$$

Observe that, numerically, we have

$$h_\rho(P_1 + P_2 + S) = h_\rho(P_1 + S) + h_\rho(P_2 + S).$$

The slope of the shadow line  $L_K \subseteq E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$  with respect to the coordinate system induced by  $\{P_1, P_2\}$  is thus

$$-\frac{h_\rho(P_1 + S)}{h_\rho(P_2 + S)} = 4 + 2 \cdot 5 + 5^2 + 3 \cdot 5^3 + 5^4 + 5^6 + 5^7 + O(5^{10}).$$

5.2. **Shadow line attached to** (“389.a1”,  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-24})$ , 5). Consider the imaginary quadratic field  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-24})$ . Note that  $K$  satisfies the Heegner hypothesis for  $E$ , the twist  $E^K$  has analytic rank 1, and the prime 5 splits in  $K$ .

Using Algorithm 4.1 we find a non-torsion point  $S = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{-24} - \frac{1}{2}) \in E(K)^-$ . We then compute

$$P_1 + S = \left( -\frac{1}{6}\sqrt{-24} + \frac{1}{3}, -\frac{5}{18}\sqrt{-24} - 1 \right)$$

$$P_2 + S = \left( -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-24} - 2, -6 \right).$$

Many of the steps taken to compute  $h_\rho(P_1 + S)$  and  $h_\rho(P_2 + S)$  are quite similar to those in §5.1. One notable difference is that in this example the class number  $h$  of  $K$  is equal to 2. We find that

$$h_\rho(P_1 + S) = 4 + 2 \cdot 5 + 3 \cdot 5^4 + 2 \cdot 5^5 + 4 \cdot 5^6 + 2 \cdot 5^7 + 5^8 + 2 \cdot 5^9 + O(5^{10}),$$

$$h_\rho(P_2 + S) = 1 + 5 + 5^3 + 5^5 + 2 \cdot 5^6 + 4 \cdot 5^7 + 2 \cdot 5^8 + 3 \cdot 5^9 + O(5^{10}).$$

In addition, we compute  $h_\rho(P_1 + P_2 + S)$  and verify that

$$h_\rho(P_1 + P_2 + S) = 4 \cdot 5 + 5^3 + 3 \cdot 5^4 + 3 \cdot 5^5 + 5^6 + 2 \cdot 5^7 + 4 \cdot 5^8 + O(5^{10})$$

$$= h_\rho(P_1 + S) + h_\rho(P_2 + S).$$

This gives that the slope of the shadow line  $L_K \subseteq E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$  with respect to the coordinate system induced by  $\{P_1, P_2\}$  is

$$-\frac{h_\rho(P_1 + S)}{h_\rho(P_2 + S)} = 1 + 5 + 3 \cdot 5^2 + 3 \cdot 5^5 + 3 \cdot 5^6 + 3 \cdot 5^7 + 2 \cdot 5^8 + 5^9 + O(5^{10}).$$

#### REFERENCES

- [BÇS] J.S. Balakrishnan, M. Çiperiani, and W. A. Stein, *p-adic heights of Heegner points and anticyclotomic  $\Lambda$ -adic regulators*, to appear in *Math. Comp.*
- [Be95] M. Bertolini, *Selmer groups and Heegner points in anticyclotomic  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extensions*, *Compositio Math.* 99 (1995), no. 2, 153–182.
- [Br00] R.W. Bradshaw, *Provable computation of motivic L-functions*, Thesis (Ph.D.)University of Washington. 2010.
- [ÇW08] M. Çiperiani and A. Wiles, *Solvable points on genus one curves*, *Duke Math. J.* 142 (2008), 381–464.
- [Çi09] M. Çiperiani *Tate-Shafarevich groups in anticyclotomic  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extensions at supersingular primes*, *Compositio Mathematica* 145 (2009), 293–308.
- [Co02] C. Cornut, *Mazur’s conjecture on higher Heegner points*, *Invent. Math.* 148 (2002), 495–523.
- [Cr97] J.E. Cremona, *Algorithms for modular elliptic curves*, Second edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [GZ] B. Gross and D. Zagier, *Heegner points and derivatives of L-series*, *Invent. Math.* 84 (1986), no. 2, 225–320.
- [Ka04] K. Kato, *p-adic Hodge theory and values of zeta functions of modular forms*, *Cohomologies p-adiques et application arithmétiques. III*, *Astérisque*, vol. 295, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2004.
- [Ko90] V.A. Kolyvagin, *Euler systems*, *The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. II*, *Progr. Math.*, 87, Birkhauser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990, 435–483.
- [L] The LMFDB Collaboration, *The L-functions and Modular Forms Database*, <http://www.lmfdb.org>, 2014, [Online; accessed 14 October 2014].
- [MR03] B. Mazur and K. Rubin, *Studying the growth of Mordell-Weil*, *Doc. Math.* 2003, Extra Vol., 585–607.
- [MST06] B. Mazur, W. Stein, and J. Tate. *Computation of p-adic heights and log convergence*, *Doc. Math.* 2006, Extra Vol., 577–614.
- [MT83] B. Mazur and J. Tate, *Canonical height pairings via biextensions*, *Arithmetic and geometry, Vol. I*, 195–237, *Progr. Math.*, 35, Birkhuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1983.
- [Ne01] J. Nekovář, *On the parity of ranks of Selmer groups. II*, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I Math.* 332 (2001), no. 2, 99–104.
- [SU14] C. Skinner and E. Urban, *The Iwasawa main conjectures for  $GL_2$* , *Invent. Math.* 195 (2014), no. 1, 1–277.
- [SW13] W. Stein and C. Wuthrich, *Algorithms for the arithmetic of elliptic curves using Iwasawa theory*, *Math. Comp.* 82 (2013), no. 283, 1757–1792.
- [Va03] V. Vatsal, *Special values of anticyclotomic L-functions*, *Duke Math. J.* 116 (2003), 219–261.

[Wa97] L. C. Washington, *Introduction to cyclotomic fields*, Second edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 83. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.

[Wu04] C. Wuthrich, *On  $p$ -adic heights in families of elliptic curves*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 70 (2004), no. 1, 23–40.

J. S. BALAKRISHNAN, MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD, WOODSTOCK ROAD, OXFORD OX2 6GG, UK  
*E-mail address:* `balakrishnan@maths.ox.ac.uk`

M. ÇIPERIANI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN, 1 UNIVERSITY STATION, C1200 AUSTIN, TEXAS 78712, USA

*E-mail address:* `mirela@math.utexas.edu`

J. LANG, UCLA MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, BOX 951555, LOS ANGELES, CA 90095-1555, USA

*E-mail address:* `jaclynlang@math.ucla.edu`

B. MIRZA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, MCGILL UNIVERSITY, 805 SHERBROOKE STREET WEST, MONTREAL, QUEBEC, CANADA, H3A 0B9

*E-mail address:* `mirza@math.mcgill.ca`

R. NEWTON, MAX PLANCK INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS, VIVATSGASSE 7, 53111 BONN, GERMANY

*E-mail address:* `rachel@mpim-bonn.mpg.de`