

Problem sheet - Multiple Zeta Values

Starred* questions are bonus questions.

Discussion on 09.07 at 11:30: Ex 10.1 – Ex 10.4

Discussion on 02.07 at 11:30: Ex 9.1 – Ex 9.3

Keywords for the week 29.06.20–05.07.20: (Drinfeld) associator, Mould, Bimould, Alternal, Alternil

Exercise 10.1:

(5 points)

Let $a = a_{i_1} a_{i_2} \cdots a_{i_\ell}$ and define the mould $M^\bullet: A \rightarrow k(u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots)$ by

$$(M | a) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a = \emptyset \text{ or if } a \text{ has a repeated letter, and} \\ \frac{1}{u_{i_2} - u_{i_1}} \frac{1}{u_{i_3} - u_{i_2}} \cdots \frac{1}{u_{i_\ell} - u_{i_{\ell-1}}} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

a) Check that for depth $\ell \leq 4$, the condition for M to be alternal, i.e.

$$M(u_1, \dots, u_r) \sqcup M(u_{r+1}, \dots, u_{r+s}) = 0$$

for $r, s \geq 1$, $r + s \leq 4$ is satisfied.

b*) Write down all the equations in depth $\ell = 5$ which must be satisfied for M to be alternal. Check these equations hold.

Exercise 10.2:

(5 points)

a) Let $M^\bullet: A^* \rightarrow k[[u_1, v_1, u_2, v_2, u_3, v_3, \dots]]$ be a bimould, and define the *swap* by

$$\text{swap} \left(M \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & u_2 & \dots & u_\ell \\ v_1 & v_2 & \dots & v_\ell \end{pmatrix} \right) = M \begin{pmatrix} v_\ell & v_{\ell-1} - v_\ell & \dots & v_1 - v_2 \\ u_1 + \dots + u_\ell & u_1 + \dots + u_{\ell-1} & \dots & u_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Check that the function composition $\text{swap} \circ \text{swap} = \text{id}$ holds.

b) Let $m = (0, \dots, 0, m_r, m_{r+1}, \dots)$ be an alternil bimould such that $m_i = 0$ for $i \leq r$ and $m_r \neq 0$. Show that the mould $(0, \dots, 0, m_r, 0, \dots)$ concentrated in depth r (by abuse of notation just m_r), is an alternal bimould.

Exercise 10.3:

(5 points)

let $F_\ell(x_1, \dots, x_\ell) = \sum_{s_1, \dots, s_\ell \geq 1} \zeta^*(s_1, \dots, s_\ell) x_1^{s_1-1} \cdots x_\ell^{s_\ell-1}$ be the generating series of stuffle regularised multiple zeta values of depth ℓ . Viewing $F = (F_0, F_1(x_1), F_2(x_1, x_2), \dots)$ as a mould show that

$$F_r(x_1, \dots, x_r) * F_s(x_{r+1}, \dots, x_{r+s}) = F_r(x_1, \dots, x_r) \cdot F_s(x_{r+1}, \dots, x_{r+s}).$$

Exercise 10.4*:

(5 points)

Let

$$\Phi = \sum_{w \in \{x_0, x_1\}^*} \zeta^{\sqcup}(w)w$$

be the Drinfeld associator. Check that Φ is group-like, i.e. under the coproduct given by $\Delta(x_i) = x_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x_i$ (so x_i is primitive), we have $\Delta\Phi = \Phi \hat{\otimes} \Phi$.

Hint: Make use of the criterion of Friedrichs (see Satz 3.8 in the Masters Thesis of A. Burmester).



Keywords for the week 22.06.20–28.06.20: Broadhurst-Kreimer conjecture, Hilbert-Poincaré series, Hopf algebra, Lie algebra

Exercise 9.1: (5 points)

a) Part of Zagier’s conjecture claims that the algebra \mathcal{Z} of MZV’s is graded by the weight. Assuming this, show that \mathcal{Z} is a filtered, graded algebra (filtered by the depth, and graded by the weight).

b) Let k be a field, and let A and B be filtered graded k -algebras. Show that $A \otimes_k B$ is again a filtered graded k -algebra.

Hint: (See also, Deligne “Théorie de Hodge”.)

c*) The show that the map $(\mathbb{Q}\langle t_2, t_3 \rangle, \sqcup) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$, $t_{i_1} \cdots t_{i_k} \mapsto \zeta(i_1, \dots, i_k)$ cannot extend to a homomorphism.

Exercise 9.2: (5 points)

Recall

$$\text{BK}^0(x, y) = \frac{1}{1 - O_3(x)y + S(x)y^2 - S(x)y^4},$$

where

$$O_3(x) = \frac{x^3}{1 - x^2} = x^3 + x^5 + x^7 + \dots$$

$$S(x) = \frac{x^{12}}{(1 - x^4)(1 - x^6)} = x^{12} + x^{16} + x^{18} + \dots$$

Define $(g_{k,\ell})_{k \geq 3, \ell \geq 1}$ through

$$\prod_{k \geq 3, \ell \geq 1} (1 - x^k y^\ell)^{-g_{k,\ell}} = \text{BK}^0(x, y).$$

Assuming the Broadhurst-Kreimer conjecture, then $g_{k,\ell}$ is the (conjectural) number of generators of $\text{gr}^F(\mathcal{Z}^0)$ in weight k and depth ℓ .

a*) Using the Möebius inversion formula (Ex 8.1 below), explain why

$$g_{k,\ell} = \sum_{d|(k,\ell)} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} b_{k/d, \ell/d},$$

where $b_{k,\ell}$ is the coefficient of $x^k y^\ell$ in $\log \text{BK}^0(x, y)$.

b) Show that

$$O_3(x)^3 = \sum_{\substack{k \geq 9 \\ \text{odd}}} \frac{(k-5)(k-7)}{8} x^k.$$

Check also that

$$S(x)O_3(x) = x^{15} \left(\frac{11 + 6x^2 - 9x^4}{48(1 - x^2)^3} + \frac{1}{8(1 + x^2)} + \frac{31 + 15x^2 + 15x^4}{48(1 - x^6)} \right),$$

hence give a formula for the coefficient of x^k in $S(x)O_3(x)$.

c) Prove that for k odd, we have

$$g_{k,3} = \left\lfloor \frac{(k-3)^2 - 1}{48} \right\rfloor.$$

Exercise 9.3*:

(5 Points)

Consider the double shuffle space

$$\text{DS}_3(d) = \left\{ f \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, x_3]_{(d)} \mid f|_{\sqcup(1,2)} = f^\#|_{\sqcup(1,2)} = 0 \right\}$$

and the following sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{DS}_3(n) \xrightarrow{i} \mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, x_3]_{(n)}^H \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, x_3]_{(n)}^G \oplus \mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, x_3]_{(n)}^{G^p} \rightarrow 0, \quad (*)$$

where $H = \langle t, ptp^{-1}, -\text{id} \rangle$, with $t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $p^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Moreover, $G = \langle t, ptp^{-1}, c_3 \rangle$ and $G^p = \langle ptp^{-1}, t, pc_3p^{-1} \rangle$, where $c_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and $\pi(f) = f|_{\sqcup(1,2)} \oplus f|_{p\sqcup(1,2)p^{-1}}$.

a) Using Molien's theorem (Ex 2.1), compute the Molien series of $\mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, x_3]_{(n)}^H$, of $\mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, x_3]_{(n)}^G$ and of $\mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, x_3]_{(n)}^{G^p}$. Assuming (*) is exact, show that

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \text{DS}_3(n) t^n = 1 + \frac{t^8(1+t^2-t^4)}{(1-t^2)(1-t^4)(1-t^6)} = 1 + \sum_{\substack{n > 0 \\ \text{even}}} \left\lfloor \frac{n^2 - 1}{48} \right\rfloor t^n.$$

Using that $g_{k,\ell} \leq \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \text{DS}_\ell(k - \ell)$ from Ihara-Kaneko-Zagier, give a bound on $g_{k,3}$.

b) Show that the map π is well-defined, and that $\ker(\pi) = \text{DS}_3(n)$.

Hint: Write the index shuffle operation $\sqcup(1,2) = 1 + c_2 + c_3$, where $c_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

c) By considering the dual spaces, show that π is surjective if and only if $\mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, x_3]_{(n)}^G \cap \mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, x_3]_{(n)}^{G^p} = 0$. Using the hint below, conclude that π is surjective.

Hint: Suppose that $\Gamma \subset \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ has finite index, and $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Γ -invariant function. Then the function f is constant. (Can you indicate why?)

Keywords for the week 15.06.20–21.06.20: Hilbert series and Hilbert polynomial, Hilbert-Poincaré series, Möbius inversion

Exercise 8.1:

(5 points)

a*) Let $\mu(n)$ denote the Möbius function defined by

$$\mu(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if a square divides } n, \\ -1 & \text{if } n = \pm p_1 \cdots p_r \text{ with } r \text{ odd,} \\ +1 & \text{if } n = \pm p_1 \cdots p_r \text{ with } r \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

Show that

$$\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } n > 1. \end{cases}$$

b) Let $(f_i)_{i=1}^\infty, (g_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ be two sequences, which satisfy $g_n = \sum_{d|n} f_d$. Using the result in part a), show the Möbius inversion formula holds $f_n = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d)g_{n/d}$.

c*) Let $\Phi_d(x)$ be the d -th cyclotomic polynomial, i.e. $\Phi_d(x)$ is the minimal polynomial of the primitive d -th root of unity $\zeta_d = \exp(2\pi i/d)$. (All other primitive d -th roots of unity are roots of $\Phi_d(x)$. Why? How many primitive d -th roots of unity are there?)

Let n be a positive integer. Show that $\prod_{d|n} \Phi_d(x) = x^n - 1$. Use Möbius inversion to give an explicit formula for Φ_n (involving polynomial multiplication and division).

Hint: Take logarithms.

Exercise 8.2:

(5 points)

a) Let A, B be graded K -algebras. Show the following identities of Hilbert-Poincaré series

$$\begin{aligned} H_{A \oplus B}(t) &= H_A(t) + H_B(t), \\ H_{A \otimes B}(t) &= H_A(t) \cdot H_B(t), \end{aligned}$$

where $A \oplus B$ and $A \otimes B$ are defined through $(A \oplus B)_k = A_k \oplus B_k$ and $(A \otimes B)_k = \sum_{i+j=k} A_i \otimes B_j$, respectively.

b) Compute $H_A(t)$ for the polynomial algebras

$$A = K[x], \quad A = K[x_1, \dots, x_n], \quad A = K[f_1, \dots, f_n]$$

where grading is given by degree of the polynomial, and $f_1, \dots, f_n \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n] \setminus K$ are non-constant homogeneous polynomials.

c) Let $\mathcal{A} = k\langle f_1, \dots, f_n \rangle$ be a free non-commutative polynomial algebra generated by elements f_i in degree $\deg(f_i)$. Show that

$$H_{\mathcal{A}}(t) = \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^n t^{\deg(f_i)}}.$$

d) Let $\mathcal{A} = \text{Sym}(V)$ be the symmetric algebra on a (graded) vector space V , i.e. A is the free polynomial algebra on a basis of V . Show that the Hilbert-Poincaré series of \mathcal{A} satisfies

$$H_{\mathcal{A}}(t) = \exp \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{H_V(t^n)}{n} \right).$$

e*) Using the Hilbert-Serre Theorem, indicate how to prove

$$H_{K[x_1, x_2]/I} = \frac{1 - t^{\deg(f)} - t^{\deg(g)} + t^{\deg(f)+\deg(g)-\deg(\gcd(f,g))}}{(1-t)^2},$$

where $I = (f, g)$, with $f, g \in K[x_1, x_2] \setminus K$ non-constant homogeneous polynomials. Investigate the differences in dimensions for $f = xy, g = x^2 \in K[x, y]$ and $f = xy, g = x^2 + y^2 \in K[x, y]$.

Hint: Hint forthcoming

Exercise 8.3: (5 points)

a) Suppose A is a connected graded free \mathbb{Q} -algebra, with g_k algebra generators in degree k . Show that

$$H_A(t) = \prod_{k \geq 1} (1 - t^k)^{-g_k}.$$

b) Let c_k be the coefficients of $\log H_A(t)$. By taking logarithms of the result in part a) and using Möbius inversion, show that

$$g_k = \sum_{d|k} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} c_{k/d}$$

c) According to Zagier's conjecture, the algebra of \mathcal{Z} of MZV's has Hilbert-Poincaré series

$$H_{\mathcal{Z}}(t) = \frac{1}{1 - t^2 - t^3}$$

According to the standard conjectures on MZV's \mathcal{Z} is connected graded free algebra. Assuming this, use part b) to show the (conjectural) number of algebra generators $g_k^{\mathcal{Z}}$ of \mathcal{Z} is given by

$$g_k^{\mathcal{Z}} = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{d|k} \mu(k/d) p_d$$

where $p_d = p_{d-2} + p_{d-3}$, $d \geq 4$, with $p_1 = 0, p_2 = 2, p_3 = 3$. Compute $g_k^{\mathcal{Z}}$ for $1 \leq k \leq 20$, with computer assistance.

d*) Check that

$$H_{\mathcal{Z}}(t) = \frac{1}{1 - t^2} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - t^3 - t^5 - t^7 - t^9 - t^{11} - \dots},$$

and explain what interpretation this suggests for the structure of \mathcal{Z} .

Keywords for the week 08.06.20–14.06.20: Generating series, Iterated integral, Regularisation

Exercise 7.1:

(5 points)

(Moved from Week 6.) Let

$$F_\ell(t_1, \dots, t_\ell) = \sum_{(k_1, \dots, k_\ell) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{>0})^\ell} x_0^{k_1-1} x_1 \cdots x_0^{k_\ell-1} x_1 \cdots t_1^{k_1-1} \cdots t_\ell^{k_\ell-1}$$

be the generating series of depth ℓ words. Compute the following shuffle products of generating series, and express them in terms of F_ℓ .

- i) $F_1(t_1) \sqcup F_1(t_2)$,
- ii) $F_1(t_1) \sqcup F_2(t_2, t_3)$, and
- iii) $F_2(t_1, t_2) \sqcup F_2(t_3, t_4)$.

Exercise 7.2:

(5 points)

Check the details of the proof that the $F_\ell^\#$ generating series satisfy the shuffle product relation

$$F_r^\#(t_1, \dots, t_r) \sqcup F_s^\#(t_{r+1}, \dots, t_{r+s}) = F_{r+s}^\#(t_1, \dots, t_{r+s})|_{\sqcup(r,s)}.$$

a) Let $F_\ell(t_1, \dots, t_\ell)$ be the generating series of depth ℓ words as in Ex 7.1 above, and let

$$F_\ell^\#(t_1, \dots, t_r) = F_\ell(t_1 + \cdots + t_\ell, t_2 + \cdots + t_\ell, \dots, t_\ell).$$

Compute explicitly the shuffle product of generating series $F_1^\#(t_1) \sqcup F_2^\#(t_2, t_3)$, and express it in terms of F_ℓ and in terms of $F_\ell^\#$.

b*) Show that

$$F_r(t_1, \dots, t_r) \sqcup F_s(t_{r+1}, \dots, t_{r+s}) = F_1(t_1 + t_{r+1})(F_{r-1}(t_2, \dots, t_r) \sqcup F_s(t_{r+1}, \dots, t_{r+s})) \\ + F_1(t_1 + t_{r+1})(F_r(t_1, \dots, t_r) \sqcup F_{s-1}(t_{r+2}, \dots, t_{r+s})).$$

Hint: Check that

$$F_r(t_1, \dots, t_r) = x_1 F_{r-1}(t_2, \dots, t_r) + x_0 t_1 F_r(t_1, \dots, t_r) \\ = (x_1 + x_0 t_1 F_1(t_1)) F_{r-1}(t_2, \dots, t_r),$$

and use the result $F_1(t_1) \sqcup F_2(t_2) = F_2^\#(t_1, t_2)|_{\sqcup(1,1)}$.

c*) Verify in the case $r = 1, s = 2$ that

$$F_1(t_1 + \cdots + t_{r+s})(F_{r+s-1}^\#(t_1, \dots, t_r; t_{r+2}, \dots, t_{r+s})|_{\sqcup(r,s-1)} \\ + F_{r+s-1}^\#(t_2, \dots, t_r; t_{r+1}, t_{r+2}, \dots, t_{r+s})|_{\sqcup(r-1,s)}) = F_{r+s}(t_1, \dots, t_{r+s})|_{\sqcup(r,s)}.$$

Exercise 7.3:

(5 points)

a) Prove that

$$\int_{a>x_1>\dots>x_r>b} \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \dots \frac{dx_r}{x_r} = \frac{1}{r!} \log\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^r$$

b) Let

$$\zeta_\varepsilon(s_1, \dots, s_k) = \int_{1-\varepsilon>t_1>\dots>t_{s_1+\dots+s_k}>0} \overbrace{\frac{dt_1}{t_1} \dots \frac{dt_{s_1-1}}{t_{s_1-1}} \frac{dt_{s_1}}{1-t_{s_1}} \dots}^{s_1-1} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{dt_{s_1+\dots+s_{k-1}+1}}{t_{s_1+\dots+s_{k-1}+1}} \dots \frac{dt_{s_1+\dots+s_{k-1}}}{t_{s_1+\dots+s_{k-1}}} \frac{dt_{s_1+\dots+s_k}}{1-t_{s_1+\dots+s_k}}}_{s_k-1},$$

as in the Kontsevich integral representation of $\zeta(s_1, \dots, s_k)$. Use a) to express $\zeta_\varepsilon(1, 1, 2)$.

c) Let

$$F_{\sqcup, \varepsilon}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{s_1, \dots, s_n \geq 1} \zeta_\varepsilon(s_1, \dots, s_n) x_1^{s_1-1} \dots x_n^{s_n-1},$$

be the generating series of ‘approximate’ multiple zeta values and

$$F_{\sqcup, \varepsilon}^\#(x_1, \dots, x_n) = F_{\sqcup, \varepsilon}(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n, x_2 + \dots + x_n, \dots, x_n).$$

Check explicitly that

$$F_{1, \sqcup, \varepsilon}^\#(t_1) F_{2, \sqcup, \varepsilon}^\#(t_2, t_3) = F_{3, \sqcup, \varepsilon}^\#(t_1, t_2, t_3)|_{\sqcup(1,2)}.$$

Exercise 7.4:

(5 points)

a) Let $A(u) = e^{\gamma u} \Gamma(1+u)$, where $\gamma = 0.577\dots$ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant and $\Gamma(t)$ is the Gamma function. Show that

$$A(u)^{-1} = 1 + \sum_{\ell \geq 1} \zeta_*^{T=0}(\{1\}^\ell) u^\ell.$$

b) Expand $A(u) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \gamma_k u^k$, and compute γ_4 and γ_6 .

Keywords for the week 25.05–31.05: Radford’s Theorem, Lyndon words, Iterated integral, shuffle product, shuffle algebra.

Exercise 6.1: (5 points)
 Prove that the map of (\mathfrak{H}^0, \sqcup) -algebras

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{H}^0[T, U] &\rightarrow \mathfrak{H} \\ T &\mapsto x_0 \\ U &\mapsto x_1 \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism, so that $\mathfrak{H} = \mathbb{Q}\langle x_0, x_1 \rangle$ is a 2-variable polynomial algebra over the admissible words $\mathfrak{H}^0 = \mathbb{Q} + x_0\mathfrak{H}x_1$.

Exercise 6.2: (5 points)
 Let $S_{n,j}$, with $p + q = n$ and $\min(p, q) \geq j$, denote the set of words in $(x_0x_1)^p \sqcup (x_0x_1)^q$ containing the subword x_0^2 exactly j times, not counting multiplicity.

For example,

$$\begin{aligned} (x_0x_1)^3 \sqcup (x_0x_1)^1 &= 4(x_0x_1x_0x_1x_0x_1x_0x_1) \\ &+ 4(x_0^2x_1x_0x_1x_0x_1x_1) + 4(x_0^2x_1x_0x_1x_1x_0x_1) + 4(x_0^2x_1x_1x_0x_1x_0x_1) \\ &+ 4(x_0x_1x_0^2x_1x_0x_1x_1) + 4(x_0x_1x_0^2x_1x_1x_0x_1) + 4(x_0x_1x_0x_1x_0^2x_1x_1). \end{aligned}$$

So $S_{4,0}$ is given by the first line, while $S_{4,1}$ is given by the second and third lines. However for $S_{4,2}$ we need to consider $(x_0x_1)^2 \sqcup (x_0x_1)^2$. (Does $S_{n,j}$ depend on the decomposition of $n = p + q$? What is the cardinality of $S_{p+q,j}$?)

a) Show that

$$(x_0x_1)^p \sqcup (x_0x_1)^q = \sum_{j=0}^{\min(p,q)} 4^j \binom{p+q-2j}{p-j} \left(\sum_{w \in S_{p+q,j}} w \right).$$

b) Use the above to show

$$\sum_{r=-n}^n (-1)^r \left[(x_0x_1)^{n-r} \sqcup (x_0x_1)^{n+r} \right] = 4^n (x_0^2x_1^2)^n.$$

c) Use the above, and the evaluation $\zeta(\{2\}^r) = \frac{\pi^{2r}}{(2r+1)!}$ to give a formula for $\zeta(\{3, 1\}^n)$ in terms of π^{4n} .

Exercise 6.3: (5 Punkte)
 (Additional)

a) Calculate $\zeta_*^T(1, 1, 1)$ and $\zeta_{\sqcup}^T(1, 1, 1)$.

b) Prove that for admissible \mathbf{s}

$$\zeta_*(\{1\}^n \mathbf{s}) = \zeta(\mathbf{s}) \frac{T^n}{n!} + \text{lower order terms, and}$$

$$\zeta_{\sqcup}(\{1\}^n \mathbf{s}) = \zeta(\mathbf{s}) \frac{T^n}{n!} + \text{lower order terms.}$$

Exercise 6.4*:

(5 points)

Let $\mathcal{A} = (\mathbb{Q}\langle A \rangle, \sqcup)$ be the shuffle algebra over some set of letters with an order $A = \{a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_k\}$. We call a word $w \neq 1 \in A^*$ a *Lyndon word* if whenever $w = uv$, with $u, v \in A^* \setminus \{1\}$, we have $w < v$ in the induced lexicographic order on A^* .

a) Compute the Lyndon words of length ≤ 4 , for $A = \{x_0 < x_1\}$.

b) Show that the following are equivalent characterisations of Lyndon words.

- w is the *unique* minimal element (in the lexicographic ordering) of all non-trivial rotations of w . (A rotation of $w = x_1 x_2 \dots x_n$ means a word of the form $x_i x_{i+1} \dots x_n x_1 \dots x_{i-1}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$.)
- If $w = uv$, with $u, v \in A^* \setminus \{1\}$, then $u < v$.

c) Suppose $w = \ell_1^{s_1} \ell_2^{s_2} \dots \ell_k^{s_k}$ is a factorisation of w into a concatenation of Lyndon words with $\ell_1 > \ell_2 > \dots > \ell_k$ of maximal length. Show that

$$\ell_1^{\sqcup s_1} \sqcup \dots \sqcup \ell_k^{\sqcup s_k} = (s_1! \dots s_k!) w + \sum_{u < w} \alpha_u u$$

for some coefficients α_u .

d) Let $L = \{\ell \mid \ell \in A^* \text{ is a Lyndon word}\}$. Use b) to prove that the Lyndon words are algebraically independent, and hence that L is a polynomial basis for \mathcal{A} .

Hint: This is Radford's Theorem.

Keywords for the week 18.05-24.05: Alphabet, Free non-commutative algebra, Regularisation, Shuffle, Quasi-shuffle, Hoffman isomorphism.

Additional background: Michael E Hoffman and Kentaro Ihara. *Quasi-shuffle products revisited* (2017)

Exercise 5.1*: (5 points)

Let

$$\text{St}(\ell, \ell'; r) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sigma: \{1, 2, \dots, \ell + \ell'\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, \ell + \ell' - r\} \text{ surjective,} \\ \sigma(1) < \sigma(2) < \dots < \sigma(\ell) \text{ and } \sigma(\ell + 1) < \sigma(\ell + 2) < \dots < \sigma(\ell + \ell') \end{array} \right\}.$$

Show the following stuffle product expression is well-defined and correct

$$\zeta(s)\zeta(s') = \sum_{r=0}^{\min(\ell(s), \ell(s'))} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \\ \text{St}(\ell(s), \ell(s'); r)}} \zeta(s''(\sigma)_1, \dots, s''(\sigma)_{\ell + \ell' - r}),$$

where

$$s''(\sigma)_k = \begin{cases} s_i & \text{if } \sigma^{-1}(k) = \{i\}, i \leq \ell \\ s'_j & \text{if } \sigma^{-1}(k) = \{\ell + j\} \\ s_i + s'_j & \text{if } \sigma^{-1}(k) = \{i, \ell + j\}, i \leq \ell. \end{cases}$$

Exercise 5.2: (5 points)

Prove that the quasi-shuffle product $*_{\circ}: \mathbb{Q}\langle A \rangle \times \mathbb{Q}\langle A \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\langle A \rangle$ is associative.

Exercise 5.3: (5 points)

Compute

- a) $y_a y_b * y_c$,
- b) $y_a y_b * y_c y_d$ and,
- c) $y_a y_b y_c * y_d y_e$.

Exercise 5.4*: (5 points)

Using the notation from lectures, let $w = y_1^m w_0 \in \mathfrak{H}^1$ with $m \geq 0$ and $w_0 \in \mathfrak{H}^0$. Show that

a)
$$\text{reg}_*(w) = \sum_{i=0}^m \frac{(-1)^i}{i!} y_1^{*i} * y_1^{m-i} w_0$$

b)
$$w = \sum_{i=0}^m \frac{1}{i!} \text{reg}_*(y_1^{m-i} w_0) * y_1^{*i}$$

c)
$$\text{reg}_*^T \left(\frac{1}{1-y_1 u} w_0 \right) = \text{reg}_* \left(\frac{1}{1-y_1 u} w_0 \right) e^{Tu} = \left(\exp_*(-y_1 u) * \frac{1}{1-y_1 u} w_0 \right) e^{Tu}$$

Exercise 5.5: (5 points)

Calculate the stuffle-polynomials and stuffle-regularised multiple zeta values

a) $\zeta_*(1, k)$ and

b) $\zeta_*(1, 1, k)$.

Check that a) matches with the previous calculation seen in the lectures via the depth 2 generating series.

Exercise 5.6: (5 points)

Let $Y = \{y_1, y_2, \dots\}$ be a countable alphabet and let $\sqcup = *_{\diamond}$, induced from the ‘zero-product’ $y_i \diamond y_j = 0$ on $\mathbb{Q}Y$. Proceed as follows to show that $(\mathbb{Q}\langle Y \rangle, \sqcup) \cong (\mathbb{Q}\langle Y \rangle, *_{\diamond})$, where $*_{\diamond}$ is some quasi-stuffle product induced from $y_i \diamond y_j$.

Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ be a composition of ℓ (i.e. $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n = \ell$, and the order of $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ is important). We write $\mathcal{C}(\ell)$ for the set of all such compositions. For a word $w = a_1 a_2 \dots a_{\ell} \in \mathbb{Q}\langle Y \rangle$ of length $\ell(w) = \ell$, λ acts on w by

$$\lambda[w] = \underbrace{[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{i_1}]}_{\text{first } i_1 \text{ terms}} \underbrace{[a_{i_1+1}, a_{i_1+2}, \dots, a_{i_1+i_2}]}_{\text{next } i_2 \text{ terms}} \cdots \underbrace{[a_{i_1+\dots+i_{n-1}}, a_{i_1+\dots+i_{n-1}+1}, \dots, a_{\ell}]}_{\text{last } i_n \text{ terms}},$$

where $[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n] = a_1 \diamond a_2 \diamond \dots \diamond a_n$. Define

$$\exp(w) = \sum_{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathcal{C}(\ell(w))} \frac{1}{\lambda_1! \dots \lambda_n!} \lambda[w]$$

and

$$\log(w) = \sum_{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathcal{C}(\ell(w))} \frac{(-1)^{\ell(w)-n}}{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n} \lambda[w]$$

and extend this by linearity to $\mathbb{Q}\langle Y \rangle$. (Note both functions use \diamond .)

The goal is to show $\exp(w)$ is an isomorphism from $(\mathbb{Q}\langle Y \rangle, \sqcup) \cong (\mathbb{Q}\langle Y \rangle, *_{\diamond})$, with inverse given by $\log(w)$.

a) Compute $\exp(w)$ and $\log(w)$ for $w = y_{i_1} y_{i_2}$, $w = y_{i_1} y_{i_2} y_{i_3}$ and $w = y_{i_1} y_{i_2} y_{i_3} y_{i_4}$. Check that $\log(\exp(w)) = w$ and $\exp(\log(w)) = w$.

b) Compute $\exp(y_{i_1} y_{i_2} \sqcup y_{i_3})$ and compare with $\exp(y_{i_1} y_{i_2}) *_{\diamond} \exp(y_{i_3})$, similarly compute and compare $\exp(y_{i_1} y_{i_2} y_{i_3} \sqcup y_{i_4}) \stackrel{?}{=} \exp(y_{i_1} y_{i_2} y_{i_3}) *_{\diamond} \exp(y_{i_4})$ and $\exp(y_{i_1} y_{i_2} y_{i_3} \sqcup y_{i_4} y_{i_5}) \stackrel{?}{=} \exp(y_{i_1} y_{i_2} y_{i_3}) *_{\diamond} \exp(y_{i_4} y_{i_5})$.

c*) Show generally that $\exp \circ \log = \text{id}$ and $\log \circ \exp = \text{id}$.

Hint: If $f(x) = a_1x + \sum_{i \geq 2} a_i x^i$, with \circ -inverse $f^{-1}(x) = b_1x + \sum_{i \geq 2} b_i x^i$, show that

$$\Psi_f(w) = \sum_{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathcal{C}(\ell(w))} a_{\lambda_1} \cdots a_{\lambda_\ell} \lambda[w] \text{ and } \Psi_{f^{-1}}(w) = \sum_{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathcal{C}(\ell(w))} b_{\lambda_1} \cdots b_{\lambda_\ell} \lambda[w]$$

are also inverses. How does the coefficient of $\mu[w]$, $\mu \in \mathcal{C}(\ell(w))$, in $\Psi_f \circ \Psi_{f^{-1}}$ arise?

d*) Show generally that $\exp(w \sqcup w') = \exp(w) *_{\blacklozenge} \exp(w')$.

Hint: Each side is a sum of rational multiples of $[S_1, T_1][S_2, T_2] \cdots [S_k, T_k]$, where each S_i a subsequence of w and each T_j is a subsequence of w' . Compare the coefficient of this on each side.

From part c) and d) we conclude that $\exp(w)$ is an isomorphism.



Keywords for the week 11.05-17.05: (Extended) period polynomials.

Additional background: Winfried Kohnen and Don Zagier. *Modular forms with rational periods* (1984)

Recall $V_k = \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}} \{X_1^{r-1}X_2^{s-1} \mid r+s=k, r,s \geq 1\}$ is the space of two-variable, degree $k-2$ polynomials.

Exercise 4.1: (5 points)

Assume k is even, and define the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: V_k \times V_k \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$, by

$$\langle F(X_1, X_2), G(X_1, X_2) \rangle = -\frac{1}{(k-2)!} F\left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial X_2}, \frac{\partial}{\partial X_1}\right) \left(G(X_1, X_2)\right) \Big|_{(0,0)}$$

Check that this is a non-degenerate bilinear $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -invariant pairing (i.e. $\langle F|_{\gamma}, G|_{\gamma} \rangle = \langle F, G \rangle$, for $\gamma \in \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, see Ex 3.2), and that

$$\langle X_1^{r-1}X_2^{s-1}, X_1^{m-1}X_2^{n-1} \rangle = \frac{(-1)^r}{\binom{k-2}{m-1}} \delta_{(r,s)=(n,m)},$$

where δ_{\bullet} is the Kronecker delta (i.e. $\delta_{\bullet} = 1$ if \bullet is true, and $\delta_{\bullet} = 0$ if \bullet is false).

Exercise 4.2: (5 points)

a) Use the pairing from Ex 4.1 to show that

$$V_k = V_k^+ \oplus V_k^-$$

is an orthogonal decomposition, where $V_k^{\pm} = \{f \in V_k \mid f|_{\varepsilon} = \pm f\}$ is the ± 1 -eigenspace of ε . (See Ex 3.3.)

b) Show also that

$$V_k = V_k^{\text{ev},+} \oplus V_k^{\text{ev},-} \oplus V_k^{\text{od},+} \oplus V_k^{\text{od},-}$$

is an orthogonal decomposition, where V_k^{ev} and V_k^{od} are the $(+1)$ - and (-1) -eigenspaces of δ , respectively and $V_k^{\text{ev},\pm} = V_k^{\text{ev}} \cap V_k^{\pm}$ and $V_k^{\text{od},\pm} = V_k^{\text{od}} \cap V_k^{\pm}$

c) Use this to decompose $X_2^2, X_2^4, X_2^6, X_2^{10}$ according to the description of V_k in b). Can you find a general formula for X_2^{k-2} ?

Exercise 4.3: (5 points)

Use Molien's Theorem from Ex. 2.1, to compute the dimensions of V_k^+ , V_k^{ev} and $V_k^{T^{-1}\delta}$, where $V_k^+ = V_k^{\varepsilon}$ is the $+1$ -eigenspace of ε (see Ex 3.3) and

$$V_k^M = \{f \in V_k \mid f|_M = f\}.$$

b) Calculate the dimensions of $V_k^{T,\varepsilon}$ and $V_k^{T,\delta}$, where

$$V_k^{M_1, M_2} = \{f \in V_k \mid f|_{M_1} = f|_{M_2} = f\}.$$

Exercise 4.4:

(5 points)

a) Give formulae for

$$\zeta(\text{ev}, \text{ev}) = \sum_{i, j \text{ odd}} a_{i, j} \zeta(i, j)$$

in the cases $\zeta(2, 2)$, $\zeta(4, 2)$, $\zeta(2, 4)$, $\zeta(6, 2)$, $\zeta(4, 4)$ and $\zeta(2, 6)$.b*) Give a general formula for $\zeta(\text{ev}, \text{ev})$ in terms of $\zeta(\text{od}, \text{od})$.**Exercise 4.5*:**

(5 points)

Let V be a vector space over a field K . Suppose that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: V \times V \rightarrow K$ is a non-degenerate pairing. Show that $\text{Hom}(V, K) \cong \{\langle v, \cdot \rangle: V \rightarrow K \mid v \in V\}$.**Exercise 4.6:**

(5 points)

a) For $k = 4, 6, 8, 12$, check that $p_k := 2((X_2^{k-2})|_{1+\varepsilon-ST})|_{T^{-1}-1}$ gives the relation

$$4 \sum_{i=1}^{(k-2)/2} a(2i+1, k-2i-1) = a(k) \quad (*)$$

as claimed in lectures by computing $\langle (X_2^{k-2})|_{1+\varepsilon-ST}, \mathcal{R}_A \rangle$, and

$$\langle p_k, \mathcal{A} \rangle = \langle (X_2^{k-2})|_{1+\varepsilon-ST}|_{\Delta^*}, \mathcal{A} \rangle = \langle (X_2^{k-2})|_{1+\varepsilon-ST}, \mathcal{A}|_{\Delta} \rangle$$

where $\Delta^* = (1 + \varepsilon)(T^{-1} - 1)$ is the adjoint to Δ .b*) Give a general proof that p_k gives the relation (*)**Exercise 4.7*:**

(5 points)

Write $V_k^{T^{-1}\delta, \text{sym}} = \{f \in V_k^{T^{-1}\delta} \mid f|_{(1-\delta)(1-\varepsilon)} = 0\}$.a) Check that $0 \rightarrow V_k^{T^{-1}\delta, \varepsilon} \rightarrow V_k^{T^{-1}\delta, \text{sym}} \xrightarrow{1-\varepsilon} W_k^- \rightarrow 0$ is a short exact sequence for $k = 4, 6, 8$ and 12 .**Hint:** Make use of a computer algebra systems.

b) Show that we have a splitting

$$0 \longrightarrow V_k^{T^{-1}\delta, \varepsilon} \longrightarrow V_k^{T^{-1}\delta, \text{sym}} \xrightarrow{1-\varepsilon} W_k^- \longrightarrow 0$$

Keywords for the week 04.05–10.05: Bernoulli numbers, Slash operator, (Extended) period polynomials, Period, Power series Ansatz

Exercise 3.1:

(5 points)

Define the sequence $\{q_{2n}\}_n$ recursively by $q_2 = 1$, and

$$q_{2n} = \frac{2}{2n+1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} q_{2k} q_{2(n-k)}, \quad n > 1.$$

Prove that

$$q_{2n} = (-1)^{n-1} \frac{24^n}{2(2n)!} B_{2n}.$$

where B_k is the k -th Bernoulli number.

Exercise 3.2:

(5 points)

Given a function $f(X_1, X_2)$ and $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$, we set $f|_\gamma(X_1, X_2) = f(aX_1 + bX_2, cX_1 + dX_2)$. Show that if $f \in V_k = \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}} \{X_1^{r-1} X_2^{s-1} \mid r+s=k, r, s \geq 1\}$, degree $k-2$ homogeneous polynomials, and $\gamma \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, then $f|_\gamma$ defines a group action. If k is even, $f \in V_k$, and $\gamma \in \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, show that $f|_\gamma$ also defines a group action.

Exercise 3.3*:

(5 points)

Set

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \delta = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T' := U^2 S.$$

Show the following identities hold (modulo ± 1):

$$\begin{aligned} S^2 &\equiv U^3 \equiv 1, & T &\equiv US, & S &\equiv \varepsilon \delta, \\ \delta &= S\varepsilon \equiv \varepsilon S, & U^2 &\equiv \varepsilon U \varepsilon, & T^{-1} &= \delta T \delta, \\ T' &\equiv \varepsilon T \varepsilon, & (T')^{-1} &= STS, & T^{-1} \varepsilon T &= ST\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 3.4:

(5 points)

Set

$$W_k := \{f \in V_k \mid f|_{1+S} = f|_{1+U+U^2} = 0\}, \text{ and}$$

$$W_k^\pm = W_k \cap V_k^\pm,$$

where $V_k^\pm = \{f \in V_k \mid f|_\varepsilon = \pm f\}$ is the ± 1 -eigenspace of ε .

- Show that $W_k = \{f \in V_k \mid f|_{1-T-T'} = 0\}$.
- Show that $W_k^\pm = \{f \in V_k \mid f|_{1-T \mp T\varepsilon} = 0\}$.

c*) Indicate why $W_k^+ \cong S_k$ and $W_k^- \cong M_k$, where S_k and M_k are the spaces of cusp forms and modular forms of weight k for $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ respectively.

d*) Introduce $\widehat{W}_k^+ = \{f \in \widehat{V}_k \mid f|_{1-\varepsilon} = f|_{1+s} = f|_{1+U+U^2} = 0\}$, the space of *extended period polynomials*, where

$$\widehat{V}_k = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{Q}} \{X_1^{r-1}X_2^{s-1} \mid r+s=k, r, s \geq 0\} = V_k \oplus \frac{X_1^{k-1}}{X_2} \mathbb{Q} \oplus \frac{X_2^{k-1}}{X_1} \mathbb{Q}.$$

Calculate \widehat{W}_k^+ for $2 \leq k \leq 8$, and indicate why $\widehat{W}_k^+ \cong M_k$.

Exercise 3.5*:

(5 points)

Consider the differential equation

$$\begin{cases} f'(x) = f(x), \\ f(0) = 1. \end{cases}$$

Make the power series Ansatz

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i x^i,$$

and use this to compute the first few coefficients a_i , $0 \leq i \leq 4$, and to find a recursive formula for the coefficients a_i .



Keywords for the week 27.04–03.05: Generating series, Regularisation, Partial fraction expansion, Euler sum formula

Exercise 2.1: (5 points)

a*) Prove Molien's Theorem: Let $G \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ be a finite group acting on the vector space of polynomials $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ over a field $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ through $(x_1, \dots, x_n)^\top \mapsto g(x_1, \dots, x_n)^\top$ for $g \in G$. Let $\chi: G \rightarrow K^\times$ be a character and write

$$K[x_1, \dots, x_n]_{(k)}^{(G, \chi)} = \left\{ f \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n] \left| \begin{array}{l} f \text{ homogeneous of degree } k, \text{ and} \\ f(g \cdot x) = \chi(g)f(x) \text{ for all } g \in G \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

for the space of (relative) invariant polynomials of degree k . Then we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \dim_K (K[x_1, \dots, x_n]_{(k)}^{(G, \chi)}) t^k = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \frac{\bar{\chi}(g)}{\det(1 - gt)}.$$

b) Apply Molien's Theorem to the double-shuffle spaces

$$DS_2(k) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} f \in \mathbb{Q}[x, y] \text{ homogeneous} \\ \text{of degree } k \end{array} \left| \begin{array}{l} f(x, y) + f(y, x) = 0, \\ f(x + y, y) + f(x, x + y) = 0 \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

to compute $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} DS_2(k)$. Hence obtain the bound $D_{k, \ell} \leq \lfloor \frac{k-2}{6} \rfloor$ from Ihara-Kaneko-Zagier.

Hint: Show that $G = \langle t, p \rangle$ where $t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $p = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ acts on $\mathbb{Q}[x, y]$, and $(\mathbb{Q}[x, y])_{(k)}^{(G, \chi)} = DS_2(k)$. What is χ ?

Exercise 2.2: (5 points)

a) Let K be a field, and \mathcal{A}_\bullet be a connected graded K -algebra, such that each \mathcal{A}_k is finite dimensional; recall connected means $\mathcal{A}_{<0} = 0$ and $\mathcal{A}_0 \cong K$. Set $\mathcal{L}_k = \mathcal{A}_k / (\mathcal{A}_k \cap \mathcal{A}_{>0}^2)$, show that

$$\dim_K \mathcal{L}_k = \text{number of generators of } \mathcal{A} \text{ in degree } k.$$

b) Suppose $\mathcal{A}_{\bullet, \bullet}$ is a connected bigraded K -algebra, such that each $\mathcal{A}_{k, \ell}$ is finite dimensional. Set $\mathcal{L}_{k, \ell} = \mathcal{A}_{k, \ell} / (\mathcal{A}_{k, \ell} \cap \mathcal{A}_{\neq(0,0)}^2)$, show that

$$\dim_K \mathcal{L}_{k, \ell} = \text{number of generators of } \mathcal{A} \text{ in degree } (k, \ell).$$

c) Recall that a filtered (connected) graded K -algebra \mathcal{A}_\bullet is one where the filtration is compatible with the grading in the sense that $F_\ell \mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_k F_\ell \mathcal{A}_k$. Set

$$\mathcal{A}_{k, \ell} = \mathrm{gr}_\ell^F \mathcal{A}_k = F_\ell \mathcal{A}_k / F_{\ell-1} \mathcal{A}_k$$

Show that $\mathcal{A}_{\bullet, \bullet} = \bigoplus_{k, \ell} \mathcal{A}_{k, \ell}$ is a (connected) bigraded algebra.

Exercise 2.3:

(5 points)

a) Prove Euler's sum formula using the identities in Ex 2.4, for $p \geq 1$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{p-2} \zeta(p-n, n+1) = \zeta(p+1).$$

b) Reformulate Euler's sum formula to evaluate $\zeta(p, 1)$ in terms of Riemann zeta values. Hence evaluate $\zeta(3, 1), \zeta(4, 1), \zeta(5, 1)$ as polynomials in Riemann zeta values.c*) Use Nielsen's reduction formula to evaluate all double-zeta values of weight ≤ 7 as polynomials in Riemann zeta values. How far can you get in weight 8? (Don't expect to evaluate everything in weight 8.)

d*) Compare your observations from c*) with the numbers you obtained in Ex 2.1 b).

Exercise 2.4*:

(5 points)

a) Fix integers $i, j \geq 1$, prove the partial fractions expansion

$$\frac{1}{X^i Y^j} = \sum_{\substack{r+s=i+j \\ r,s>0}} \binom{r-1}{j-1} \frac{1}{(X+Y)^r X^s} + \binom{r-1}{i-1} \frac{1}{(X+Y)^r Y^s}.$$

Hence show the relation

$$\zeta(j)\zeta(k-j) = \sum_{r=2}^{k-1} \left[\binom{r-1}{j-1} + \binom{r-1}{k-j-1} \right] \zeta(r, k-r).$$

b) Check the following partial fractions expansion, for $x \neq a$

$$\frac{1}{x^p(x-a)^q} = (-1)^q \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \binom{q+n-1}{q-1} \frac{1}{x^{p-n} a^{q+n}} + \sum_{n=0}^{q-1} \binom{p+n-1}{p-1} \frac{(-1)^n}{a^{p+n}(x-a)^{q-n}}.$$

Hence show Nielsen's reduction formula, that for $p > 1, q \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(p, q) &= \sum_{n=0}^{q-2} (-1)^n \binom{p+n-1}{p-1} \zeta(q-n)\zeta(p+n) + (-1)^q \sum_{n=0}^{p-2} \binom{q+n-1}{q-1} \zeta(p-n, q+n) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{q-1} \binom{p+q-2}{p-1} (\zeta(p+q) + \zeta(p+q-1, 1)). \end{aligned}$$

c) How do the expansions in part a) and b) differ?

Keywords for the week 20.04–26.04:

Riemann zeta function, Bernoulli numbers, Multiple Zeta Values, Stuffle product, Basel problem.

Exercise 1.1*: (5 points)

Sketch a proof of Apéry's theorem.

Hint: The following pages Apéry's constant, Apéry's Theorem, or Section 5.4 of The 1-2-3 of Modular Forms are useful.

Exercise 1.2*: (5 points)

a) Prove that the Taylor expansion of $\log(\Gamma(1 - z))$ at $z = 0$ is given by

$$\log(\Gamma(1 - z)) = \gamma z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\zeta(k)}{k} z^k$$

where γ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant defined by

$$\gamma = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} - \log(n) \right).$$

b) Give examples of other functions whose Taylor coefficients contain zeta values.

Exercise 1.3*: (5 points)

Prove Euler's partial fraction expansion formula for the cotangent:

$$\pi \cot(\pi x) = \frac{1}{x} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{x+n} + \frac{1}{x-n} \right) \quad (x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}).$$

Exercise 1.4: (5 points)

Show that the numbers d_k in Zagier's Conjecture satisfy

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} d_k x^k = \frac{1}{1 - x^2 - x^3} \text{ and } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (d_k - \alpha r^k) = 0$$

for some constant α , where $r \approx 1,324717\dots$ is the real root $x^3 - x - 1$.

Exercise 1.5: (5 points)

Prove that if \mathcal{Z} is a graded algebra, then $\zeta(k)$ is transcendental for all $k > 1$.

Exercise 1.6: (5 points)

Show that Hoffman's Conjecture implies Zagier's Conjecture if \mathcal{Z} is a graded algebra.

Exercise 1.7:

(5 points)

- a) Describe the products $\zeta(2)\zeta(2)$, $\zeta(2)\zeta(2,2)$ and $\zeta(5,2)\zeta(3,4)$ as linear combinations of multiple zeta values.
- b) Show that $\zeta(p)^2 = 2\zeta(p,p) + \zeta(2p)$, $p > 1$ integer.

